

Video Article

# IgY Technology: Extraction of Chicken Antibodies from Egg Yolk by Polyethylene Glycol (PEG) Precipitation

Diana Pauly<sup>1</sup>, Pablo A. Chacana<sup>2</sup>, Esteban G. Calzado<sup>3</sup>, Björn Brembs<sup>4</sup>, Rüdiger Schade<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Biological Security, Robert Koch-Institute

<sup>2</sup>CICVyA - INTA Castelar, Instituto de Virología

<sup>3</sup>Center of Molecular Immunology, Ciudad de la Habana, Cuba

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biology, Chemistry, Pharmacy, Institute of Biology-Neurobiology, Free University of Berlin

<sup>5</sup>Institut of Pharmacology, Charité-University Medicine of Berlin

Correspondence to: Rüdiger Schade at [ruediger.schade@charite.de](mailto:ruediger.schade@charite.de)

URL: <https://www.jove.com/video/3084>

DOI: [doi:10.3791/3084](https://doi.org/10.3791/3084)

Keywords: Immunology and Infection, Issue 51, Immunization, Chicken, Antibodies, Polyethylene Glycol

Date Published: 5/1/2011

Citation: Pauly, D., Chacana, P.A., Calzado, E.G., Brembs, B., Schade, R. IgY Technology: Extraction of Chicken Antibodies from Egg Yolk by Polyethylene Glycol (PEG) Precipitation. *J. Vis. Exp.* (51), e3084, doi:10.3791/3084 (2011).

## Abstract

Hens can be immunized by means of i.m. vaccination (*Musculus pectoralis*, left and right, injection volume 0.5-1.0 ml) or by means of Gene-Gun plasmid-immunization. Dependent on the immunogenicity of the antigen, high antibody-titres (up to 1:100,000 - 1:1,000,000) can be achieved after only one or 3 - 4 boost immunizations. Normally, a hen lays eggs continuously for about 72 weeks, thereafter the laying capacity decreases. This protocol describes the extraction of total IgY from egg yolk by means of a precipitation procedure (PEG. Polson *et al.* 1980). The method involves two important steps. The first one is the removal of lipids and the second is the precipitation of total IgY from the supernatant of step one. After dialysis against a buffer (normally PBS) the IgY-extract can be stored at -20°C for more than a year. The purity of the extract is around 80 %, the total IgY per egg varies from 40-80 mg, dependent on the age of the laying hen. The total IgY content increases with the age of the hen from around 40 mg/egg up to 80 mg/egg (concerning PEG precipitation). The laying capacity of a hen per year is around 325 eggs. That means a total potential harvest of 20 g total IgY/year based on a mean IgY content of 60 mg total IgY/egg (see Table 1).

## Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at <https://www.jove.com/video/3084/>

## Protocol

### 1. Protocol - IgY-extraction by means of PEG-precipitation

Fig. 1 gives a schematic diagram of the IgY-extraction procedure (see Table 2). The protocol was first described in Polson *et al.* 1980. All steps should be performed using latex gloves.


1. The eggshell is carefully cracked and the yolk is transferred to a "yolk spoon" in order to remove as much egg white as possible.
2. The yolk is transferred to a filter paper and rolled to remove remaining egg white, then the yolk skin is cut with a lancet or a similar instrument (pipette tip). The yolk is poured into a 50 ml tube and the egg volume is registered (V1).
3. Twice the egg yolk volume of PBS is mixed with the yolk ( $\Sigma V1+V2$ ), thereafter 3.5 % PEG 6000 (in gram, pulverized) of the total volume is added and vortexed, followed by 10 min rolling on a rolling mixer. That step of the extraction procedure separates the suspension in two phases. One phase consists of "yolk solids and fatty substances" (original quotation of Polson *et al.* 1980) and a watery phase containing IgY and other proteins.
4. The tubes are centrifuged at 4°C for 20 min (10,000 rpm according to 13,000 x g, Heraeus Multifuge 3SR+, fixed angle rotor). The supernatant (V3) is poured through a folded filter and transferred to a new tube.
5. 8.5 % PEG 6000 in gram (calculated according to the new volume) are added to the tube, vortexed and rolled on a rolling mixer as in step 3.
6. Repeat step 4 with the difference that the supernatant is discarded.
7. The pellet is carefully dissolved in 1 ml PBS by means of a glass stick and the vortexer. PBS is added to a final volume of 10 ml (V4). The solution is mixed with 12 % PEG 6000 (w/v, 1.2 gram) and treated as in step 3 (vortex, rolling mixer).
8. Repeat step 6 and dissolve the pellet carefully in 800  $\mu$ l PBS (glass stick and vortex). Wait for the air bubbles to disappear and then transfer (pipette) the extract to a dialysis capsule. Rinse the tube with 400  $\mu$ l PBS and add the volume to the dialysis device (V5). (For preparation of dialysis devices and membranes see appendix.)
9. The extract is dialysed over night in 0.1 % saline (1,600 ml) and gently stirred by means of a magnetic stirrer. The next morning, the saline is replaced by PBS and dialysed for another three hours.
10. Thereafter the IgY-extract is pulled from the dialysis capsule by a pipette and transferred to 2ml tubes. The final volume is around 2 ml (V6).

11. The protein content (mg/mL) of the samples is measured photometrically at 280 nm (1:50 diluted with PBS) and calculated according to the Lambert-Beer law with an extinction coefficient of 1.33 for IgY (see Fig. 2), Fig. 4 shows the quality of the preparation (purity and recovery are around 80 %).
12. It is advisable to store the samples in aliquots at -20°C (do not freeze the samples at -70°C).
13. The quality of the final preparations is analysed by simple SDS-PAGE as described in JoVE protocol <http://www.jove.com/details.php?id=1916>


## 2. Appendix- before use the dialysis bag must be prepared in the following way according to the recommendations of the manufacturer:

1. 20 dialysis bags are cut in pieces of 30 cm and given in a 2000 ml glass beaker.
2. 1,750 ml of a 5 mM EDTA-solution are added.
3. A glass funnel is placed above the bags to ensure that the bags are covered by the EDTA solution. The solution is heated and boiled for 5 min (hot plate). The solution is decanted and the bags are washed three times with distilled water.
4. Once again 1,750 ml EDTA-solution are added, boiled for 5 min and washed three times with distilled water as above.
5. Finally the dialysis bags are boiled for 10 min in distilled water and stored at 4°C. Take out the dialysis bags by means of sterilized tweezers.


## 3. Representative results




**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of IgY-extraction by means of polyethylene glycol precipitation according to the original description of Polson *et al.* 1980. [To view a larger image click here.](#)



**Figure 2.** Development of total-IgY in egg yolk of immunised hens in dependence of age. Given is the weekly mean of total IgY/egg and SD (n = 4 laying hens). From [Pauly *et al.* 2009]).



**Figure 3.** Laying capacity monitoring of four hens immunised with different antigens. The arrows indicate immunisation date (from [Pauly *et al.* 2009]). [To view a larger image click here.](#)



**Figure 4.** Polyacrylamide-Gelelectrophoresis of individual IgY preparations from different eggs under reducing conditions. Lane 1 - Molecular weight marker, Lane 2 - IgY-standard, Lane 3-5 - different IgY samples prepared as described in the protocol. These are final preparations according to step 10 of the protocol. HC - heavy chains, LC - light chains, ? - minor impurities corresponding to molecular weight around 35 kDa (probably the C-terminal fragment of vitellogenin II precursor, [Klimentzou *et al.*, 2006]).

	Chicken 21 (Antigen A)	Chicken 22 (Antigen A)	Chicken 19 (Antigen B)	Chicken 23 (Antigen C)
Egg number (total IgY) <sup>1</sup> , 2-years period	625 (38 g)	626 (38 g)	545 (33 g)	608 (37 g)
Egg number (total IgY), first year	345 (20 g)	304 (16 g)	326 (18 g)	308 (17 g)
Egg number (total IgY), second year	280 (18 g)	322 (21 g)	219 (15 g)	300 (20 g)
% of max. possible egg number (first year) <sup>2</sup>	106	93	100	95
% of max. possible egg number (second year)	86	99	67	92
number of processed eggs	565	620	283	401

<sup>1</sup>The amount of total IgY is calculated by multiplying the number of eggs by a mean value of 60 mg protein per egg according to the data of Figure 2.

<sup>2</sup>The max. possible egg number per year is 325 according to an information of the breeder.

**Table 1.** Two years statistics of egg laying capacity of chickens immunized with different antigens. Laying capacity in percent of the maximum of four hens after immunisation with different antigens as well as the IgY-outcome during two years (see also Fig. 3), [Pauly *et al.* 2009]).

Egg Nr.	Hen Nr. Laying Date	V1[ml] yolk	V2[ml] PBS	1. PEG prec. [g]	Vol [ml] after	2. PEG prec. [g]	Pellet	3. PEG prec. [g]	Pellet	Vol [ml]	Total protein mg/ml	Corrected total protein mg/ml
			$2 \times V1$ $\sum V1 + V2$	3,5% [w/v] $\sum V1 + V2$	prec., centrif. and filtration V3	8,5% [w/v] V3	dissolved in ml PBS V4	12% [w/v] V4	Dissolved in ml PBS V5	After dialysis against PBS V6	A280/ml	A280/ml:1.33
1	H293 / 22.10.	15	30 45	1.58	31	2.63	10	1.2	1.2	1.7	35.0	26.3
2	H293 / 23.10.	13	26 39	1.37	25	2.12	10	1.2	1.2	1.7	28.8	21.6

**Table 2.** Exemplary protocol of PEG precipitation

## Discussion

The choice of a suitable IgY purification method is influenced by scale of purification (laboratory or industrial), cost effectiveness, technology (laboratory equipment), and impact on the environment (waste management). Various IgY extraction methods were reviewed in detail by De Meulenaer & Huyghebaert (2001, for review see also Schade *et al.* 2005). In general, these methods can be divided into three principal groups:

1. *Precipitation methods*: involving ammonium or sodium sulphate, polyethyleneglycol (PEG), caprylic acid and caragenean.
2. *Chromatographic methods*: affinity chromatography, ion exchange chromatography, hydrophobic interaction chromatography, thiophylic interaction chromatography, and gel-filtration chromatography.
3. *Ultrafiltration*.

The purity of an IgY preparation can be increased by a combination of methods; for example, PEG precipitation can be combined with affinity chromatography. In some instances, depending on the final application, a water extract of IgY is sufficient to achieve good results (Akita & Nakai 1993). According to our experience, the IgY-sample we obtain by PEG-precipitation worked very well in a lot of different immunological assays. By standardizing the PEG-precipitation method one technical assistant is able to process approximately 84 eggs per week, which corresponds to an amount of total IgY between 4 and 7 g per week. A simple calculation: A laying hen is immunised with an antigen. After a few boost immunisations the hen produces specific antibodies (Ab) with a titre of 1:50,000 during a period of 30 days. 30 eggs x 2 ml IgY extract (see protocol and Fig. 3) corresponds to 60 ml total IgY, which in turn corresponds to an Ab-dilution of 3,000 l (the capacity of a bucket is 10 l), that means with 3,000 l of Ab solution 300 buckets can be filled. So, in view of such huge Ab-quantity it is no problem to store an Ab-pool of constant quality and specificity. This technique reduces the charge/lot variability otherwise observed in polyspecific Ab.

Despite of the aspect of quantity, IgY Ab have further advantages compared with mammalian IgG: No activation of mammalian complement system, no cross-reactivity with HAMA (human anti mouse antibody), rheumatoid factors or human blood group antigens (lack of heteroagglutinins).

An outstanding advantage of IgY-Ab is the so-called phylogenetic distance. Phylogenetic distance is the reason for the frequently described differences between the Ab specificities of mammals and chickens, even when identically immunised. In addition to differences between the mammalian and the avian immune system themselves, differences in phylogenetic development in these two animal classes contributes to the different Ab specificities. Several authors have reported that chickens often produce Abs against phylogenetically highly conserved mammalian proteins or peptides more efficiently than do rabbits (for review see Schade *et al.* 2005). As a consequence, a conserved antigen can remain "masked" to the rabbit immune system, and thus cause only a weak or a "silent" response. Furthermore, if chickens and rabbits are immunised with the same mammalian antigen, very often the chickens respond with an Ab-specificity that can rarely be achieved in rabbits. Also the aspect of animal welfare is important since the Ab are non-invasively extracted from egg yolk. In combination with Gene-Gun (plasmid immunisation the IgY-production is completely non-invasive (Witkowski *et al.* 2009).

In conclusion, the production of Ab in hens and the IgY-extraction by means of PEG-precipitation is very cost-effective and results in highly specific Ab with stable titres up to 1:1,000,000. Due to the phylogenetic distance between *Aves* and *Mammalia*, chicken are able to produce specific Ab against highly conserved mammalian antigens in contrast to e.g. rabbits. The extraordinary amount of Ab obtained by IgY-technology opens the door also for using IgY-Ab in human- and veterinary medicine for therapeutic/prophylactic purposes.

## Disclosures

Experiments on animals were performed in accordance with the guidelines and regulations set forth by Landesamt für Gesundheit und Soziales Berlin (H 0069/03).

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Project BiGRUDI [Biologische Gefahrenlagen: Risikobewertung, ultraschnelle Detektion und Identifizierung von bioterroristisch relevanten Agenzien, 13N9594]). We thank B. Diemar (Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Institut für Pharmakologie) for excellent technical assistance.

## References

1. Akita, E.M. & Nakai, S. Comparison of four purification methods for the production of immunoglobulins from eggs laid by hens immunized with an enterotoxigenic *E. coli* strain. *J. Immunol. Methods* 160, 207-214 (1993).
2. De Meulenaer, B. & Huyghebaert, A. Isolation and purification of chicken egg yolk immunoglobulins: a review. *Food Agricult. Immunol.* 13, 275 - 288 (2001).
3. Klimentzou, P., Paravatou-Petsotas, M., Zikos, Ch., Beck, A., Skopeliti, M., Czarnecki, J., Tsitsilonis, O., Voelter, W., Livaniou, E. & Evangelatos, G.P.. Development and immunochemical evaluation of antibodies Y for the poorly, immunogenic polypeptide prothymosin alpha. *Peptides* 27, 183-193 (2006).
4. Pauly, D., Dorner, M., Zhang, X., Hlinak, A., Dorner, B. & Schade, R. Monitoring of laying capacity, immunoglobulin Y concentration, and antibody titer development in chickens immunized with ricin and botulinum toxins over a two-year period. *Poultry Science* 88, 281-290 (2009).
5. Polson, A., von Wechmar, M.B & van Regenmortel, M.H. Isolation of viral IgY antibodies from yolks of immunized hens. *Immunol. Commun.* 9, 475-493 (1980).
6. Schade, R., Behn, I., Erhard, M., Hlinak, A. & Staak C. Chicken egg yolk antibodies, production and application : IgY-Technology. Springer, Berlin. (2001).

7. Schade, R., Calzado, E.G., Sarmiento, R., Chacana, P.A., Porankiewicz-Asplund, J. & Terzolo, H.R. Chicken egg yolk antibodies (IgY-technology): a review of progress in production and use in research and human and veterinary medicine. *Altern. Lab Anim.* 33, 129-154 (2005).
8. Witkowski, P.T., Bourquain, D.R., Hohn, O., Schade, R. & Nitsche, A. Gene gun-supported DNA immunisation of chicken for straightforward production of poxvirus-specific IgY antibodies. *J. Immunol. Methods* 341, 146-153 (2009).