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Abstract

The generation of chimeric embryos is a widespread and powerful approach to study cell fates, tissue interactions, and species-specific contributions to the histological and morphological development of vertebrate embryos. In particular, the use of chimeric embryos has established the importance of neural crest in directing the species-specific morphology of the craniofacial complex. The method described herein utilizes two avian species, duck and quail, with remarkably different craniofacial morphology. This method greatly facilitates the investigation of molecular and cellular regulation of species-specific pattern in the craniofacial complex. Experiments in quail and duck chimeric embryos have already revealed neural crest-mediated tissue interactions and cell-autonomous behaviors that regulate species-specific pattern in the craniofacial skeleton, musculature, and integument. The great diversity of neural crest derivatives suggests significant potential for future applications of the quail-duck chimeric system to understanding vertebrate development, disease, and evolution.

Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at https://www.jove.com/video/51534/

Introduction

The facial skeleton develops from the growth and fusion of multiple facial processes that are composed of neural crest and mesodermal mesenchyme surrounded by ectodermal and endodermal epithelial layers\textsuperscript{1-11}. Morphogenetic events within each process are governed by distinct signaling interactions between the mesenchyme and surrounding epithelia\textsuperscript{12-16}. Alterations to these signaling interactions and/or their downstream effectors contribute to disease phenotypes and may also be relevant to evolution of the craniofacial skeleton\textsuperscript{17,18}. Therefore, elucidating the timing and nature of tissue interactions has great potential to increase our understanding of the developmental and evolutionary biology of the facial skeleton.

The use of chimeric embryos to investigate tissue interactions has a long history in developmental biology. This approach was pioneered by Hans Spemann and his lab who discovered embryonic “organizers” by transplanting tissues between embryos of different amphibian species. Spemann was a master of micro-surgical techniques whose hand-skills were complemented by his development of specialized tools, notably the Spemann pipette. Viktor Hamburger was a graduate student in the laboratory of Hans Spemann in Freiburg during the 1920s, which is when the original transplant experiments that led to Spemann’s Nobel Prize were performed. When Hamburger moved to Washington University in St. Louis in 1935, he detailed the process of making a Spemann micropipette in his Manual of Experimental Embryology\textsuperscript{19}. Drew Noden was a graduate student in Hamburger’s lab at Washington University until 1972. After moving to the University of Massachusetts, Amherst and then to Cornell University, Noden continued fabricating and using Spemann micropipettes for his surgical transplants involving quail-chick chimeras. While a graduate student, one of the authors (Rich Schneider) trained with Drew Noden at Cornell from 1995 to 1998. The following protocol for making a Spemann micropipette is based on descriptions written by Hamburger and Noden, and includes subsequent modifications made by Schneider.

The use of quail-chick chimeras for the study of craniofacial development and especially for understanding the contributions of neural crest cells was pioneered by Noden and by Le Douarin in the early 1970s, reviewed in Le Douarin et al\textsuperscript{20}. This approach has been broadly adopted in many studies and by numerous other investigators\textsuperscript{21-38}. The equivalent rates of growth and morphology of quail and chick make transplants within them ideal for the study of cell fate and lineage tracing. However, because of the similarities between quail and chick, morphological changes induced by donor cells are difficult to decipher. In contrast, other avian chimeric systems have included domestic duck as a way to study mechanisms that make embryos anatomically distinct\textsuperscript{39-50}. More specifically, the quail-duck chimeric system offers multiple benefits for discerning the effects of the donor on the host, and vice versa. First, quail and duck embryos are distinct in body size and shape, which provides a direct way to explore donor- or host-specific mechanisms of pattern formation by assaying for differential domains of gene expression\textsuperscript{51-57}. Finally, the anti-quail nuclear antibody (Q\textsubscript{¢}PN) allows donor
and host cellular contributions to be permanently distinguished from one another by recognizing a protein that is ubiquitously expressed in quail cells but absent from duck cells.

**Protocol**

**1. Prepare Tungsten Needles**

1. Cut a tungsten rod in half using wire cutters so that the rod does not bend.
2. Thread the rod through the tapered end of a 5 3/4 in borosilicate glass Pasteur pipette.
3. Leaving approximately 3/4 in of the rod sticking out of the glass, adhere the rod to the pipette tip with a small bead of “hot melt adhesive” (HMA), which is the glue stick used for a glue gun. The HMA should be quickly melted in an alcohol flame, taking care not to burn the glue and generate black smoke.
4. Using a pair of forceps, bend the tip of the tungsten rod (about 1/4 in from the top end) until a 45° angle is reached.
5. Holding the Pasteur pipette, place about 1/8 in of the tip of the tungsten rod into the flame of a propane torch. Hold the tip steady and exactly perpendicular to the flame.
6. Pull the needle out of the flame the moment a tiny orange speck of tungsten flies off of the needle.

**2. Prepare Spemann Pipettes**

1. Using a glass rod, gently brush a small amount of Neutral Red (0.02 g/ml in Hank’s BSS) over the embryo.
2. Using a syringe with an 18 G by 1-inch needle, poke a hole at the narrowest tip of the egg and withdraw approximately 1-2 ml of albumen.
3. Incubate eggs until they reach HH9.5, approximately 26 hr for quail and 48 hr for duck.
4. Cut a “window” along the top surface of the egg (through the transparent tape) using curved scissors.
5. Place transparent tape over the hole and puncture mark in the shell.
6. Set eggs in a heated incubator at 37.5 °C and 85-87%.

**3. Sterilize and Incubate Eggs**

1. Wipe eggs with 70% ethanol and place the eggs on plastic egg trays.
2. Set eggs in a heated incubator at 37.5 °C and 85-87%.
3. Incubate eggs until they reach HH9.5, approximately 26 hr for quail and 48 hr for duck.

**4. Window Eggs**

1. Remove a small piece of outer shell from the top of the egg with forceps, taking care not to puncture the inner shell membrane.
2. Using a syringe with an 18 G by 1-inch needle, poke a hole at the narrowest tip of the egg and withdraw approximately 1-2 ml of albumen.
3. Place transparent tape over the hole and puncture mark in the shell.
4. Cut a “window” along the top surface of the egg (through the transparent tape) using curved scissors.

**5. Visualize Embryos and Prepare for Surgery**

1. Using a glass rod, gently brush a small amount of Neutral Red (0.02 g/ml in Hank’s BSS) over the embryo.
2. Cut the vitelline membrane and pull it over the embryo using a flame-sharpened tungsten needle.
3. Re-seal the egg by placing transparent tape over the window.

6. Separate the Donor Tissue from the Donor Embryo

1. Remove tape from the window on the egg of the donor embryo.
2. To cut the neural fold from the adjacent ectoderm of the donor embryo, make slits on both sides of the neural tube, using a flame-sharpened tungsten needle (manufactured as described above).
3. Then, cut across the neural tube at the desired anterior and posterior levels of the graft and separate the graft from the rest of the neural tube.
4. Remove the neural fold from the donor embryo using either a Spemann pipette or other type of micropipette.
5. Then, remove the tape from the window on the host egg and use the Spemann pipette to place the donor neural fold alongside the host embryo adjacent to the region of the neural tube that will receive the transplant.

7. Separate the Host Tissue and Transplant the Donor Tissue

1. Separate the neural fold from the neural tube of the host embryo, as done with the donor. Take care to remove a graft of equal size as the donor tissue.
2. Gently push this host tissue far away from the embryo.
3. Then, with a blunt tungsten needle or the rounded tip of a micropipette, gently move the donor neural fold into the host neural tube, maintaining the proper antero-posterior and dorso-ventral orientations. Make sure the graft is tucked in along the sides but be sure not to poke or damage to the underlying tissues. To help keep track of the original orientation note any asymmetry in the donor tissue or differential staining from the Neutral Red. We also photo-document the donor embryo with the accompanying excised tissue, as well as the chimera immediately following surgery.
4. Sterile saline should be added to the egg if the host embryo shows signs of desiccation.
5. Now, carefully re-seal and label the egg, and gently return it to a high humidity incubator (70-80%) where the chimeric embryo can develop to the desired stage for analysis.

8. Collection of Chimeras

1. Be sure to collect chimeric embryos in freshly made cold Serra's fixative and immediately place them on a rocker at 4 °C for an O/N fixation. This will allow for more sensitive detection of quail cells with the anti-quail antibody.
2. For gene expression analyses via RT-qPCR, freeze embryos directly in liquid nitrogen prior to RNA extraction.

Representative Results

Prior to further analysis, the efficiency of the transplant needs to be assayed. For histological, morphological, or gene expression analyses on tissue samples, quail cells should be detected by immunohistochemistry using Q¢PN antibody as described. For RNA analyses, species-specific contributions to tissues of interest can be calculated using a PCR-based strategy. After the efficacy of the transplant has been validated, further morphological or molecular outcome measures can be evaluated in chimeras. Interactions between the donor neural crest cells and surrounding host-derived tissues that underlie proper histogenesis and morphogenesis of the craniofacial complex have previously been extensively studied. In particular, neural crest mesenchyme directs species-specific morphology of the face, feather pattern, muscle pattern, and cartilage through the regulation of host gene expression. For example, neural crest mesenchyme dictates when bone forms in the mandible by temporally regulating Bmp4 expression.

Recently, investigations have centered on tissue interactions occurring very early in craniofacial development. In this regard, experiments utilizing quail-duck chimeras have shown host embryos influence neural crest migration by determining morphological boundaries. That is, in chimeric quck embryos, donor quail neural crest cells migrate into the host duck mandibular arch in a duck-like pattern (Figure 1D). Despite this host contribution to the size of the neural crest population, the donor neural crest continues to generate a mandibular skeleton that is quail-like in size and shape (Figure 1E).
Figure 1. Quail-Duck Chimeric System. (A) Quail and (B) duck skulls display considerable differences in size and shape, and thus, are ideally suited for using a chimeric system to study craniofacial development (modified from Tokia et al.\textsuperscript{56}). (C) Experimental design for generating unilateral chimeric quick embryos from stage-matched HH9.5 quail and duck embryos. The neural fold is removed from one side of quail embryos and transplanted into duck embryos after an equivalent piece of the neural fold has been removed. (D) Quail donor cells (green) can be followed in chimeras using an anti-quail antibody (Q¢PN) as shown in ventral view of HH12 chimeric embryo. (F) In quick mandibles at HH38, the quail donor-derived Meckel’s cartilage is shorter and straighter than that observed for the contralateral duck host-derived Meckel’s cartilage, which is larger and curved. Reprinted Tokita et al., Dev. Bio. 306, 377 (2007) with permission from Elsevier.

Discussion

The neural crest is a transient embryonic cell population that migrates extensively throughout the embryo and differentiates into diverse cell types, including chondrocytes and osteoblasts, that contribute to the craniofacial skeleton. Transplanting neural crest in the quail-duck chimeric system has contributed greatly to our understanding of the tissue interactions and signaling pathways that regulate development of the craniofacial skeleton. However, given the vast potential of neural crest to also generate smooth muscle cells, adipocytes, melanocytes, schwann cells, and neurons, the quail-duck chimera system has tremendous potential for future applications, particularly in conjunction with the rapid advancement of stem cell biology and regenerative medicine. Since quail and duck are both commercially bred species, a ready supply of relatively inexpensive fertilized eggs is available from a variety of farms. Thus, this technique should be accessible to researchers operating within a wide range of budgets and facility space.

Although this technique is very powerful, there remain several limitations. Like other surgical techniques, the quality and viability of quail-duck chimeras rely on the surgical skills of the researcher, and therefore, there will be more inter- and intra-individual variation between experiments as compared to other models, such as those utilizing mouse genetics. Moreover, there is also variation in the rates of development and stages of individual embryos that contributes to the reproducibility and success of each transplant. Avian embryos are also very susceptible to dehydration and therefore critical steps during surgery include keeping the light levels low, the time under the microscope to a minimum, the eggs sealed with tape as much as possible, and high humidity in the post-operative incubator to avoid desiccation.

In terms of viability of the chimeras, usually between 50-75% survive, although these percentages can decrease the older the collection stage. In a typical 4-6 hr session of surgery, an experienced surgeon can generate 10-15 chimeras. The success of the transplants also depends greatly on the quality of the tools. Good tools lead to more consistent, reproducible results. Using a propane torch to make tungsten needles allows extremely sharp needles to be made. The type of torch used makes a big difference because it controls the size of the flame. Electrolytic sharpening can also be used, but this approach does not even come close to producing needles as sharp. Use tungsten rods instead of spooled wire so that the needles can be made straight.

The Spemann micropipette, while time-consuming and difficult to make, is an ideal instrument for tissue transfer. The pipette can be customized with different-sized openings, and can be used repeatedly. A critical factor for using a Spemann micropipette is to have some fluid in the pipette before touching the tip to the surface of the embryo. Some of the fluid will always flow out when contact is made with the meniscus over the embryo. Pressing on the diaphragm allows fluid and graft tissue to be ejected very precisely, whereas slightly letting up on the diaphragm gently...
sucks the donor graft tissue into the pipette. Maintaining a bit of positive pressure on the diaphragm keeps the donor graft tissue at the tip of pipette during transfer, and a little additional pressure on the diaphragm allows the donor graft tissue to be deliberately placed in the host.

For protection of the Spemann pipette during storage and sterilization, remove the bulb from the wide end and carefully insert the tapered tip into the bulb. Place the Spemann pipette in a glass test tube, cover the top with aluminum foil, and autoclave prior to surgery. After multiple pipettes are ready to be sterilized again for surgery, invert the pipettes, heat them nearly to a boil in the same solution of distilled water and glassware detergent, and then rinse repeatedly with distilled water. Autoclave pipettes in their individual tubes. The rubber tubing that forms the diaphragm and the rubber bulb should be replaced after several sterilizations or when they become darkened and stiff.

Many of the components of this protocol involve dangerous equipment. For example, the procedure for making a Spemann Micropipette involves three types of flames as well as heating, pulling, blowing, bending, cutting, and polishing glass. Therefore, wearing proper personal protective equipment (PPE) that increases safety such as goggles and a lab coat is critical. Additionally, because many people suffer from, or have the potential to develop, egg allergies, always use gloves when handling eggs. With these precautions in mind, the quail-duck chimeric system is a safe, efficient, and relatively accessible method that has many future applications.
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