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**Abstract**

Large-scale 2D electron microscopy (EM), or nanotomy, is the tissue-wide application of nanoscale resolution electron microscopy. Others and we previously applied large scale EM to human skin pancreatic islets, tissue culture and whole zebrafish larvae\(^1-7\). Here we describe a universally applicable method for tissue-scale scanning EM for unbiased detection of sub-cellular and molecular features. Nanotomy was applied to investigate the healthy and a neurodegenerative zebrafish brain. Our method is based on standardized EM sample preparation protocols: Fixation with glutaraldehyde and osmium, followed by epoxy-resin embedding, ultrathin sectioning and mounting of ultrathin-sections on one-hole grids, followed by post staining with uranyl and lead. Large-scale 2D EM mosaic images are acquired using a scanning EM connected to an external large area scan generator using scanning transmission EM (STEM). Large scale EM images are typically ~ 5 - 50 G pixels in size, and best viewed using zoomable HTML files, which can be opened in any web browser, similar to online geographical HTML maps. This method can be applied to (human) tissue, cross sections of whole animals as well as tissue culture\(^1-5\). Here, zebrafish brains were analyzed in a non-invasive neuronal ablation model. We visualize within a single dataset tissue, cellular and subcellular changes which can be quantified in various cell types including neurons and microglia, the brain's macrophages. In addition, nanotomy facilitates the correlation of EM with light microscopy (CLEM)\(^8\) on the same tissue, as large surface areas previously imaged using fluorescent microscopy, can subsequently be subjected to large area EM, resulting in the nano-anatomy (nanotomy) of tissues. In all, nanotomy allows unbiased detection of features at EM level in a tissue-wide quantifiable manner.

**Video Link**

The video component of this article can be found at [https://www.jove.com/video/53635](https://www.jove.com/video/53635/)

**Introduction**

Recent technical developments have improved the versatility, applicability and quantitative nature of EM, leading to a revival of ultrastructural analysis. Advances include 3D EM, large scale 2D EM and improved methods and reagents for correlated light microscopy and electron microscopy (CLEM) to compare other modes of microscopic analysis directly to the EM level\(^8-10\). Large-scale 2D EM is particularly suitable to quantify or identify (novel) disease features for human pathology, study animal models for disease and tissue culture models. Due to the typically small field of view it is difficult to correlate changes at high magnification to a tissue wide scale, as well as to unbiasedly and quantitatively analyze ultrastructural features.

For pathological analysis of human tissue or the assessment of pathology in animal models, haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) colored sections of formaldehyde fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue is the standard. Besides simple H&E staining immunolabeling is also performed to identify pathological abnormalities. If such tissues could be analyzed at the EM level, specific cell types, and subcellular changes could be identified. The unbiased nature of large scale EM allows finding unexpected and novel features of disease. By large-scale EM areas up to square millimeters can be visualized. We and others previously applied nanotomy to rat pancreatic islets\(^4\), cell culture\(^2\), rat brain\(^3\), skin and mucosa\(^7\) and whole zebrafish larvae\(^5\) (www.nanotomy.org). Zebrafish are highly suitable for in vivo imaging, in particular to visualize cell types which are difficult to access in mammalian tissues including brain immune cells\(^11\). Here the nanotomy procedure is described in detail, applied to coronal sections of zebrafish heads undergoing conditional neuronal ablation by conversion of metronidazole by neuronal expressed nitroreductase (www.nanotomy.org)\(^5,12-14\). All raw data is presented as zoomable HTML files, visualizing molecular to tissue scale changes. The presentation of the raw data allows unbiased analyses of the datasets from other angles by experts worldwide.
All experiments with zebrafish larvae were approved by the Animal Experimentation Committee of the University of Groningen according to national and EU guidelines.

1. Sample Preparation

1. Fixation and Embedding

1. Fixation

1. Anaesthetize fish larvae in tricaine (0.003%) added to the E3 (5 mM NaCl, 0.17 mM KCl, 0.33 mM CaCl₂, 0.33 mM MgSO₄, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.6) zebrafish water and test for depth of anesthesia by poking gently with a 200 µl pipette tip under dissection microscope until motion is no longer detected (Figure 1A).

2. Fix larvae in fresh 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS containing Triton-X-100 for 2 hr at room temperature in plastic tubes. Note: Cells grown in culture (in vitro) can be directly fixed in glutaraldehyde, as described in the next step (1.1.1.5).

3. Remove solution and add 100 µl 0.5% PFA, 2% glutaraldehyde (GA), and 0.1 M sodium cacodylate (pH 7.4). Store overnight at 4 °C. Rinse samples twice in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate.

4. Cut larval heads rostrally to the hindbrain to facilitate penetration of osmium. Use fine syringes/forceps.

5. Postfix larvae in 1% osmium tetroxide (OsO₄)/1.5% potassium ferrocyanide (K₄[Fe(CN)₆]) in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate on ice for 2 hr and rinse 3 x 5 min in double distilled H₂O.

6. Dehydrate in ethanol by 20 min incubations in increasing ethanol concentrations (50%, 70%, 3 times 100%).

2. Embedding

1. Prepare epoxy resin according to standard recipes used in EM labs. Note: We use epoxy resin as follows: mix 19.8 g glycid ether 100, 9.6 g 2-dodecenylsuccinic acid anhydride and 11.4 g methylnadic anhydride using a magnetic stirrer. Add 0.5 g DMP-30 (tris-(dimethylaminomethyl) phenol) and mix again.

2. Incubate larvae overnight in 50% epoxy resin in ethanol (v/v) while rotating (RT).

3. Replace diluted epoxy-resin with pure resin. Incubate for 30 min, add fresh resin again and incubate for another 30 min. Again refresh the resin and then incubate 3 hr at RT, followed by 15 min at 58 °C and another 1 hr at RT under low pressure (200 mbar).

4. Orient the heads in commercially available silicon flat embedding molds under a dissection microscope using a needle or toothpick with the anterior facing the side of the mold or as needed (Figure 1B).

5. Polymerize epoxy resin overnight at 58 °C. If epoxy resin is still too soft allow another day to polymerize and harden at 58 °C. Test stiffness by applying pressure using forceps. If this easily leaves an indentation allow another day to polymerize and harden at 58 °C. When specimen is fully hardened proceed to trimming and sectioning.

6. Trim away excess resin from the stub using razor blades (Figure 1B).

2. Sectioning, Contrasting, and Mounting

1. Sectioning

1. Section epoxy resin block using an ultramicrotome.

2. Use a glass knife or a diamond histoknife to cut semithin sections (500 nm) for toluidine blue/basic fuchsin (Figure 1D) staining to detect the right positioning.

3. Transfer semi-thin sections on microscopic slides by picking them up with a glass Pasteur pipet whose tip has been closed by melting in a flame. Dry on a hot plate until no water is left. Stain for 10 sec with 1% toluidine blue in water on a hot plate and after rinsing with water, stain for 10 sec with 0.05% basic fuchsin in 1% sodium tetraborate. Examine with a normal light microscope at 10X to 40X.

4. When the proper site/orientation within the brain is reached, continue sectioning the epoxy resin block with a diamond knife to cut ultrathin sections (~ 70 nm; Figure 1E).

5. Use easily identifiable anatomic structures in and around the brain, including olfactory pits, eyes, grey-white matter boundaries, to identify the region of interest during ultrathin sectioning and to adjust the sectioning angle when the sample is tilted.

6. Mount section on single slot L2 x 1 copper grids (Figure 1F), to allow acquisition uninterrupted by grid bars. Use Formvar coated grids to support the sections.

2. Contrasting

1. Stain ultrathin sections on grids for 2 min in 2% uranyl acetate in methanol followed by Reynolds lead citrate for another 2 min.

2. Image Acquisition

1. Large Scale STEM

Note: We use scanning EM with an external scan generator capable of acquiring multiple large fields of view at high resolution using STEM detection. Typically, one image generated this way is equivalent to the fields of view of ~ 100 Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) images, significantly reducing the amount of stitching.

1. Mounting Sample in Microscope
1. Place grid with section in the multiple grid sample holder and transfer into the chamber of the SEM.

2. Alignment of the STEM Detector
   1. Put the grid with the sample under the beam and move it up so it will be approx. 5 mm from the lens pole. Acquire an image at 20 kV using the in-lens detector.
   2. Focus on grid edge and adjust working distance to 4.0 mm.
   3. Move the sample holder to a safe position and bring in the STEM detector.
   4. Center the hole of the STEM detector in the image-field by turning the adjustments screws while imaging at maximum speed with the in-lens detector.
   5. Carefully bring back the sample holder which will just fit between the lens pole and the detector.
   6. Acquire an image with the in-lens detector to be sure to be on the section area.
   7. Switch to STEM detection (gain medium and all quadrants set on ‘minus’) and acquire an image and select the area to be scanned.
   8. Raise acceleration voltage to 21 kV and wait for stabilization of the beam (stable image again) and then go to 29 kV in steps of 2 kV.

3. Acquiring Images
   1. Pre-irradiate the sample (to prevent brightness changes caused by the e-beam) by zooming out so the complete area to be scanned fits the image window.
   2. Change aperture to 120 µm (to keep the proper dynamic range the detector gains have to be reduced one step).
   3. De-focus so the image is blurred.
   4. Make the scanned area as tight as possible using the reduced area scan option.
   5. Set scan speed such that a frame is scanned in approx. 1 - 2 sec.
      - Note: Depending on the size and tissue this typically takes ½ hr (100 x 100 µm FOV) to up to 3 hr (1,000 x 500 µm).
   6. Zoom in at least 100X and scan a small area for 10 sec.
      - Note: When this area does not change brightness compared to its surrounding, pre-irradiation is sufficient.
   7. Bring back the 30 µm aperture (and STEM gain on medium)
   8. Focus the sample.
   9. Align aperture using the wobbler (at ~ 40,000X magnification).
   10. While in focus select the lightest area/feature, set scan speed such that details are visible.
   11. In the microscope software adjust brightness and contrast by carefully watching the histogram to keep all pixels in the dynamic range. Do the same for the dark areas.
   12. Go back to the bright area and check again. Be on the safe side so there is some space on both sides of the histogram.
   13. Zoom out so the complete area to be scanned fits the image window, and start the large area acquisition program.
   14. Use the wizard option to set up a mosaic by selecting an area from the screen. Use pixel size 2 - 5 nm depending on what details are necessary. Use dwell time 3 µs for STEM.
   15. Select option "autofocus on previous tile", use the large scale acquisition software autofocus with default company settings, check box "verify setting prior to execution" and define where to save the data.
   16. Press "optimize" to check microscope settings.
      - Note: Now the time needed will be shown. This might be up to 72 hr for 1 x 0.5 mm areas.
   17. In window "maximum tile resolution" type 20,000 so individual tiles will not be bigger than 20k x 20k, preventing blunt edge effects. Press "execute".
   18. When asked for checking focus and Brightness/Contrast (B/C), switch off external scan generator and carefully adjust focus and astigmatism in the microscope software. Do not change B/C.
      - Note: The stage can be moved and magnification changed, but magnification has to be set back to the desired pixel size.
   19. Switch on external scan generator again and press "continue".

3. Data Analysis
   1. Open large-scale EM file viewer program and open the file "mosaic info". This will open all the tiled tif-files. This preferably is performed on another workstation to not obstruct new acquisitions.
   2. Choose option ‘auto stitch entire mosaic (parameters overlap mode on half, stitching threshold 0.90, noise reduction automatic. In case stitching criteria cannot be fulfilled, zoom in and manually place tile in position and click 'continue as is').
   3. Export as an html file, or alternatively as a single TIF.
      - Note that for TIF export a downsampling might be needed. Include the final pixel size in the file name enabling measurements later.
   4. Perform annotations in the TIF file by opening it in an image editing program. In parallel, open the zoomable html file in a web browser for optimal resolution observation.

Representative Results

Large-scale EM dataset of coronal sections of the head of 1-week-old zebrafish larvae shows many tissue and cellular features in a single large scale image (www.nanotomy.org).
Nanotomy of control brain sections reveals typical ultrastructural features of neural tissue of the rostral forebrain including olfactory fiber bundles, neuronal nuclei and neuronal sub-compartments including synapses (Figure 2A, www.nanotomy.org). Cell types in the head that can be distinguished include chondrocytes with large vacuoles in the lower jaw, osmiophilic myelin of the olfactory nerve cells, endothelial cells of a small vessel, structures include the meninx (the zebrafish counterpart of the meninges, the membranous layer encasing the mammalian brain). Subcellular structures include the glycocalyx of the epidermis, different nuclear morphologies and foci in different cell types and organelles including Golgi apparatus, endoplasmic reticulum (ER), mitochondria and synaptic vesicles and post-synaptic densities.

Unexpectedly the nuclei of cells lining the ventricle are very electron dense compared to other cells dispersed more laterally in the brain. Additionally, these ventricular nuclei show dark foci that are absent in other cells in the brain and appear different in size and structure from the heterochromatin, which is found in nuclei of phagocytic microglia (Figure 2). Cells lining the ventricle in developing zebrafish include mostly radial glial cells and neuronal progenitors, which may reflect an altered chromatin state than more differentiated cells. As stem cells in tissue are generally quite rare, nanotomy to correlate tissue labeling for stem cell markers with tissue scale EM could therefore be used to identify ultrastructural features of stem cells.

Large-scale EM (www.nanotomy.org) of a coronal section in a zebrafish larva undergoing neuronal ablation reveals many specific tissues, and cellular and molecular features not found in control animals. Cellular features include phagocytic microglia and vacuoles the size of cells, likely representing dying cells (Figure 2B, www.nanotomy.org). Previous EM studies have identified microglia in vertebrates. Characteristic features of microglia include elongated nuclei, clumps of patchy chromatin next to the nuclear envelope, prominent Golgi apparatus, free polyribosomes, granular endoplasmic reticulum (ER) with long narrow cisternae, relatively dark/dense cytoplasm, and numerous inclusions, such as phagosomes, lipid droplets and lysosomes. All these hallmarks, attributed to microglia in mammalian tissues, were also found in these cells in zebrafish brains (Figure 2B, www.nanotomy.org).

**Figure 1:** Workflow of Large-scale Tissue Electron Microscopy on Zebrafish Brains. (A) 7 day-old zebrafish larva. (B) Zebrafish larval heads embedded side by side in epoxy resin. (C) Glass knife for semi-thin sectioning and orienting the specimen. (D) Representative semi-thin toluidine blue stained section showing two side-by-side embedded zebrafish larvae. (E) Diamond knife to cut ultrathin (70 nm) sections. (F) Edited image in (D) of whole zebrafish larval brain section on one-hole grid to indicate positioning. (G) Microscopy system used for large scale 2D EM in this study. (H) Flow of image processing. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.
Figure 2: Nanotomy Results: From Macromolecule to Tissue. (A) Nanotomy of brains of 7 days post fertilization control and (B) treated (neuronal ablation; Figure 1), showing features specific to the neuronal ablated degenerative brain. (B) These include phagocytic microglia, dark cells undergoing cell death and spongy appearance of neural tissue ([A] and [B]). Upper panels (adapted from5): 10X magnified view of region indicated in middle panels. Middle panels: 10X magnified view of region indicated in lower panels. (A, middle panel) High magnification view of neuropil showing synapse in (A, lower panel), synaptic vesicles (SVs) and postsynaptic density (PD). (B, Middle panel) High magnification view of amoeboid microglia or possibly a macrophage (middle panel, M) showing typical amoeboid microglial features (lower panel) including prominent Golgi apparatus (G), inclusions including lysosomal vacuoles (L). Scale bars: 50 µm (top), 5 µm (middle) and 0.5 µm (bottom). This figure has been modified from5. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure, or visit the full data online (nanotomy.org).

Discussion

EM allows analysis of cellular context with high resolution imaging of macromolecules in biological context. However, this typically limits the field of view. Larger scale 3D EM is particularly suitable for mapping neuronal connectivity by creating nanoscale resolution 3 dimensional reconstructions, requiring complex data processing10. In contrast, 2D large scale EM requires only a single section and stitching of the imaging data, and assessment of the data is possible by anyone with access to an Internet browser. We and others previously used large scale EM to analyze tissues and whole animals. As for most approaches, TEM and SEM-based stitching do have their own advantages. Here, scanning transmission EM (STEM) was used that allows generation of a large field of view at high resolution. Typically, one STEM image is equivalent to the fields of view of approximately 100 TEM images, significantly reducing the amount of stitching when imaging large fields of view at high resolution. If higher resolution is needed, TEM may be advantageous. STEM has the advantage over TEM that non-contrasted samples can be used with good ultrastructural contrast6.

Additionally, the method described here can be simply adjusted for use with back scattered electron detection (BSD) on sections mounted on silica wafers, broadening the use to multiple microscope systems. HTML-zoomable tissue EM files are very useful for quantification, sharing data that may not have been analyzed to its full content, combining LM and EM data (CLEM)5, presentation purposes in scientific research, to analyze patient data, and for education. Alternatively, in large-scale EM in a SEM, but not in a TEM, silica wafers can be used, which has two main advantages: BSD can be used, which is more generally available on scanning electron microscopes than STEM detection. Second, mounting of large sections (> 1 mm² areas of interest) is straightforward. Mounting on single slotted grids is labor intensive and technically challenging. Detailed comparison between TEM, SEM and STEM is detailed elsewhere5. A disadvantage of BSD imaging is that, compared to STEM, images have increased noise. This can partly be compensated by increasing the pixel dwell time, resulting in much longer acquisition times.

Although for sample preparation relatively standard EM processing (fixation, embedding and sectioning) is needed5–7, it is technically challenging to cut large ultrathin sections completely devoid of artifacts. Sections are very fragile, easily break, fold or are destroyed during imaging, which typically takes multiple hours per dataset. However, because of the online sharing of the raw unbiased data, it should become possible to compare more easily to published data, and use published dataset in the open domain as controls. Currently, few EM devices capable of large-scale analysis are in use, and therefore accessibility to this technique is somewhat limited, though most imaging centers welcome collaborative efforts.
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