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Abstract

Obesity represents the most important single risk factor in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes, a disease which is characterized by a resistance to insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and a gross decomposition of systemic glucose metabolism. Despite considerable progress in the understanding of glucose metabolism, the molecular mechanisms of its regulation in health and disease remain under-investigated, while novel approaches to prevent and treat diabetes are urgently needed. Diet derived glucose stimulates the pancreatic secretion of insulin, which serves as the principal regulator of cellular anabolic processes during the fed-state and thus balances blood glucose levels to maintain systemic energy status. Chronic overfeeding triggers meta-inflammation, which leads to alterations in peripheral insulin receptor-associated signaling and thus reduces the sensitivity to insulin-mediated glucose disposal. These events ultimately result in elevated fasting glucose and insulin levels as well as a reduction in glucose tolerance, which in turn serve as important indicators of insulin resistance. Here, we present a protocol for the generation and metabolic characterization of high-fat diet (HFD)-fed mice as a frequently used model of diet-induced insulin resistance. We illustrate in detail the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), which monitors the peripheral disposal of an orally administered glucose load and insulin secretion over time. Additionally, we present a protocol for the insulin tolerance test (ITT) to monitor whole-body insulin action. Together, these methods and their downstream applications represent powerful tools to characterize the general metabolic phenotype of mice as well as to specifically assess alterations in glucose metabolism. They may be especially useful in the broad research field of insulin resistance, diabetes and obesity to provide a better understanding of pathogenesis as well as to test the effects of therapeutic interventions.

Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at https://www.jove.com/video/56672/

Introduction

In the developed world, obesity and diabetes reached epidemic dimensions due to physical inactivity and the excess consumption of processed food, effects which are driven by rapid urbanization, industrialization as well as globalization. Although research on insulin resistance and its co-morbidities, such as hyperlipidemia and atherosclerosis, has gained prominence during the last decades, the complex biological mechanisms which regulate metabolism in health and disease remain incompletely understood and there is still an urgent need for new treatment modalities to prevent and treat these diseases¹.

Insulin, and it’s counter-regulatory hormone glucagon serve as the principal regulators of cellular energy supply and macronutrient balance, thus also maintaining proper systemic blood glucose concentrations². Glucose itself acts as one of the main stimuliators of insulin secretion by pancreatic β-cells, while other macronutrients, humoral factors as well as neural input further modify this response. Insulin consequently triggers the anabolic processes of the fed state by facilitating the diffusion of excess blood glucose into muscle and fat cells and further activating glycolysis as well as protein- or fatty acid synthesis, respectively. Additionally, insulin suppresses hepatic glucose output by inhibiting gluconeogenesis. Chronic excess energy consumption and meta-inflammation lead to hyperinsulinemia and peripheral insulin resistance due to the down-regulation of insulin receptor expression as well as alterations in downstream signaling pathways, thus resulting in impaired sensitivity to insulin-mediated glucose disposal as well as insufficient inhibition of hepatic glucose production³,⁴,⁵,⁶.

A wide range of animal models with genetic, nutritional, or experimental induction of disease have been proven to be excellent tools to study the molecular mechanisms of insulin resistance and various forms of diabetes as well as its accompanying illneses³. A prime example is the widely used and well established HFD-induced mouse model, which is characterized by rapid weight gain due to increased dietary intake in combination with reduced metabolic efficiency, resulting in insulin resistance³,⁴. Both in animal models and humans, an elevation in fasting blood glucose and insulin levels, as well as an impaired tolerance to glucose administration are frequently used indicators of insulin resistance and other systemic alterations of glucose metabolism. Monitoring blood glucose and insulin levels at the basal state or after stimulation are therefore easily accessible readouts.
The present protocol outlines the generation of HFD-fed mice as well as two frequently used methods, the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) and the insulin resistance test (ITT), which are useful to characterize the metabolic phenotype and to investigate alterations in glucose metabolism. We describe the OGTT in detail, which assesses the disposal of an orally administered glucose load and insulin secretion over time. Further, we provide instructions on how to conduct the ITT to investigate whole-body insulin-action by monitoring blood glucose concentration in response to a bolus of insulin. The protocols described in this article are well-established and have been used in multiple studies. In addition to slight modifications which may help to increase success, we provide guidelines for experimental design and data analysis, as well as useful hints to avoid potential pitfalls. The protocols described herein can be very powerful tools to investigate the influence of genetic, pharmacological, dietary, and other environmental factors on whole body glucose metabolism and on its associated disorders such as insulin resistance. In addition to stimulation with glucose or insulin, a variety of other compounds may be used for stimulation depending on the purpose of individual research. Although outside of the scope of this manuscript, many other downstream applications can be performed on the drawn blood samples, such as the analysis of blood values other than glucose and insulin (e.g., lipid and lipoprotein profiles) as well as detailed analysis of metabolic markers (e.g., by quantitative real time Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), Western blot analysis, and Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)). Further flow cytometry and Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) may be applied to investigate the effects in distinct single cell populations, while transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic approaches may also be utilized for untargeted analysis.

Overall, we provide a simple protocol to generate an HFD-induced mouse model, while further describing two powerful approaches to study whole-body metabolic alterations, the OGTT and the ITT, which can be useful tools for studying disease pathogenesis and developing new therapies, especially in the field of metabolism-associated diseases such as insulin resistance & diabetes.

### Protocol

All methods described here have been approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Medical University of Vienna and conducted according to the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations (FELASA). Please note that all procedures described in this protocol should only be performed after institutional and governmental approval as well as by staff that are technically proficient.

#### 1. HFD-fed mice

**NOTE:** Maintain all C57BL/6J mice on a 12-h light/dark cycle with free access to food and water.

1. At 6 weeks of age, place mice for 8-12 weeks on an HFD (40-60% fat calories) to induce obesity, while feeding the lean control-group a low-fat diet (LFD) (10% fat calories).
2. Determine the body weight of the mice on a weekly basis. The weight curves should show similar patterns in both groups, with a higher slope in the HFD-fed group.

#### 2. OGTT

**NOTE:** If blood sampling time points are chosen during OGTT every 15 min, the experiment should be performed with a maximum of 15 mice in parallel, in order to have at least 1 min handling-time per mouse.

1. **Preparations on the day before OGTT**
   - Transfer the mice into a cage with fresh bedding and fast them overnight before testing (14 h), while ensuring that the mice have access to drinking water (e.g., remove the food at 6:00 pm for a start time on the next morning at 8:00 am). **NOTE:** Fasting mice overnight is the standard approach, however a shorter fast (5-6 h) is more physiological for mice (see **Discussion** for details).

2. **Preparations on the day of the experiment (but prior to the experiment)**
   - Prepare 10 mL of 20% glucose solution (dissolve D-(+)-Glucose in distilled water).
   - Prepare a 96-well plate for plasma collection, by filling one well for each sampling time point and each mouse, with 5 µL NaEDTA (0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.0 in 0.9% NaCl, storage at RT). During the experiment, store this plate on ice. **NOTE:** See Supplementary **Figure 1** for a detailed checklist.

3. Measure the body weight of all mice and mark their tails with a permanent marker in order to make the mice easily distinguishable (e.g., mouse 1 = 1 dash, mouse 2 = 2 dashes, etc.).

4. **Glucose measurement and blood sampling (**Figure 2**)**
   - Carefully cut off 1-2 mm of the tail tip using sharp scissors ("Variant A" in **Figure 2**). Always wipe off the first drop of blood to avoid hemolysis or contamination with tissue fluid before taking new blood samples for blood glucose determination. Draw a small blood sample (~3 µL) for the measurement of the basal blood glucose level (= time point 0) with the glucometer. **CAUTION:** Check and adjust the charge number of test strips on a glucometer.
   - **NOTE:** As an alternative blood sampling method, nick the lateral tail vein of a mouse with a sharp scalpel blade ("Variant B" in **Figure 2**). The lateral tail vein is usually accessed approximately one-third along the length of the tail from the tail tip, moving towards the base of the tail for multiple samples. The use of a local anesthetic cream is recommended. Stop blood flow by applying finger pressure on the soft tissue for at least 30 s before the animal is returned to its cage.
   - Collect a blood sample (around 30 µL) using a fresh capillary tube (keep the capillary tube horizontal). Empty the capillary tube using a pipette by putting the pipette tip at the top of the capillary tube end and carefully pushing the collected blood into a well of the 96-well plate, while avoiding air bubbles. Repeat this procedure for all mice - one at a time.
NOTE: As an alternative for blood collection via a capillary tube, use a pipette adjusted to the correct volume (e.g., 30 µL) to collect blood, or collect a drop of blood from the tail on paraffin film, and pipet it into the EDTA-solution. Strictly avoid the contact of petroleum jelly with blood or glucometer test strips, as it may influence subsequent glucose and insulin measurements.

CAUTION: The OGTT is very stressful for mice: lean mice can lose around 15% of their body weight during an overnight fast. Additionally, blood sampling at different time points leads to a considerable loss of blood. For easier blood sampling, it is possible to carefully massage the mouse-tail with petroleum jelly.

NOTE: Institutional guidelines may limit the allowable amount of blood collected within a set period. The sampling volumes and timepoints should be adjusted to not exceed the allowed maximums. The body weight of the mice should be used to calculate the total blood withdrawal permitted.

5. Calculate the required volume of glucose solution based on body weight (1 g glucose/kg body weight; this can be increased up to 3 g/kg) to be administered by oral gavage for every mouse. For example, a mouse with a body weight of 30 g would need 150 µL of a 20% glucose solution to administer 30 mg of glucose.

NOTE: To base the dose of glucose on the weight of the mouse is the standard procedure. If body composition data are available, the dose of glucose for OGTT should be calculated based on the lean body mass (see Discussion for details).

6. Glucose administration
   1. Prepare everything that is needed during the whole experiment in advance (timer, experiment record sheet, glucometer monitor and strips, capillaries, syringes, glucose solution, 96-well plate, scalpels, calculator, balance, permanent marker, bench papers, a pipette with a tip, and gloves).
   2. For glucose application, restrain the mouse by firmly grasping it by the scruff. Apply enough firmness to the skin around the neck to prevent the mouse from twisting out of the restrain and to properly tilt its head back. Also ensure that the mouse can breathe properly.
   NOTE: Once glucose administration is started, good time management is very important.
   3. Carefully administer the glucose solution (based on step 2.5) directly into the stomach using a feeding needle. Cautiously direct the feeding needle through the mouth towards the esophagus. Allow the mouse to swallow the needle: the needle entirely sinks into the lower esophagus/stomach of the mouse. Then inject the glucose solution (Figure 3a).
      1. If any resistance is met or if the animal struggles immediately, withdraw the needle and reposition it. Start the timer immediately after the first gavage and administer glucose to all other mice in 1 min intervals.
      NOTE: It may be helpful to apply a drop of glucose solution directly from the feeding needle to the mouth of the mouse, which will stimulate licking and swallowing, thus facilitating easier insertion of the feeding needle. Do not apply pressure when inserting the feeding needle as this may seriously injure the animal.

7. After 15 min, measure blood glucose levels with the glucometer and additionally take blood samples (~30 µL) (as described in detail in step 2.4) of each mouse in the same order as they were injected.

NOTE: The time management is very important; follow as closely as possible using the same time intervals as for gavage. Let the mice move as freely as possible and limit restraining to a minimum during the whole procedure to reduce stress, which may modify the results. Milk tail with one hand and collect the blood with the other.

8. Repeat step 2.7 at selected time points depending on the expected results (e.g., at 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180 min after glucose administration). If the selected time points are longer than 120 min, ensure that the mice have access to drinking water. Ensure that the mice have always access to drinking water. When finished with the experiment, return the mice to their home cages equipped with food and water.

CAUTION: The OGTT is very exhausting for the mice. Therefore wait at least 1 week before performing the next metabolic test, such as an ITT.

9. After the experiment, centrifuge blood samples at 2,500 x g, 30 min, 4 °C. Transfer the supernatant (plasma) to empty wells of the plate and store it at -20 °C until analysis.
   1. Record hemolysis of samples if present (see Section 3).

10. Determine plasma insulin levels using a commercially available ELISA kit (see the Table of Materials) following the manufacturer’s instructions of the kit.

NOTE: Depending on the fasting state as well as on the metabolism of the investigated mice, difficulties during this assay may occur: overnight fasting insulin levels (time point 0) are very low and therefore close to the detection limit. To avoid this issue, double the quantity of recommended plasma volume and accordingly halve the result of the ELISA assay. On the other hand, if mice reach the insulin peak during OGTT, especially in HFD-fed mice, the insulin levels may exceed the detection limit: dilute the sample (e.g., 10-fold with 0.9% NaCl) and repeat the ELISA assay. Hemolysis in plasma samples may lead to the degradation of insulin, resulting in a decrease of the readout values. The degradation depends on time, temperature, and the hemoglobin concentration in the sample. Always keep hemolyzed samples cold or on ice to reduce insulin degradation.

3. ITT

NOTE: The same precautions described for OGTT (handling of mice, blood, glucometer, and petroleum jelly use) also have to be applied when performing the ITT. For example, all injections should be carried out within 15 min in 1 min intervals if 15 mice are tested in parallel. For the ITT, subsequent collection of blood samples with capillaries tubes is optional.

1. Preparations before the experiment
   1. Fast mice for at least 2 h before insulin injection, while ensuring that the mice have access to drinking water (e.g., remove food at 8:00 am, test mice 2-5 h later).
   2. Dilute insulin 1:1,000 in 0.9% NaCl (Stock: 100 U/mL insulin; working concentration 0.1 U/mL) and prepare 20% glucose (D-(-)) Glucose solution dissolved in distilled water) to be administered if the mice become hypoglycemic.

NOTE: The ITT is typically performed after a short fast to avoid the hypoglycemia which may otherwise occur in overnight fasted animals. All reagents that are administered to the animals have to be pharmacological grade and sterile.
2. Measure the bodyweight of mice, mark tail, cut the tail tip using sharp scissors, and measure basal blood glucose levels as described previously for the OGTT in step 2.4.

3. Insulin injection
   1. To inject insulin intraperitoneally (0.75 U insulin/kg body weight, calculated beforehand), restrain the mouse by the scruff method.
   2. Use a fresh, sterile 27 or 30 gauge needle for each animal to avoid discomfort and the risk of any injection-site infection.
      NOTE: Sterilization of the skin can prolong the duration of insulin administration, and thus can cause additional disturbances to the animal. Therefore, it is not recommended.
   3. Tilt the mouse head down at a slight angle to expose the ventral side of the animal. Place the sterile needle with the bevel up and at a 30° angle in the lower right quadrant of the animal's abdomen (Figure 3b). Start the timer immediately after the first mouse is injected.
      NOTE: Low-dose ITTs (0.1 U/kg) may be performed to specifically assess hepatic insulin sensitivity. As for the OGTT, calculating the injection volume based on body weight is the standard procedure, while basing the dose on lean body mass is preferred if body composition data are available.

4. Measure blood glucose levels at selected time points (e.g., after 15, 30, 45, 60, and 90 min).
   NOTE: As insulin has a short half-time of ~10 min in mice\textsuperscript{13,14,15,16,17}, late differences after insulin administration (e.g., after 2 h) may not reflect a direct effect of insulin action. Administer 20% glucose solution in case a mouse becomes hypoglycemic (blood glucose levels below 35 mg/dL) and is in risk of dying.

5. After the final time points, place the mice back into their home cages prepared with plenty of food and water.

Representative Results

Figure 1 illustrates a schematic time table for metabolic phenotyping of mice on diets. At an age of approximately 6 weeks, mice should be placed on an HFD, while an LFD-group may serve as the control group. Importantly, body weight should be determined weekly to observe if there is an expected increase in body weight. Any kind of stress (e.g., noise or aggressive male behavior) can interfere with body weight gain and should be eliminated immediately. Each cohort of mice for diet experiments should consist of at least 10 mice because these diet-experiments are time-consuming, and outliers are frequent (e.g., mice not gaining weight or mice with abnormal glucose or insulin levels). After the selected period of time (depending on the study hypothesis and the time point of expected changes), OGTT and ITT can be performed for the evaluation of glucose tolerance and insulin action. In this paper, late time points for the metabolic test were chosen.

Importantly, there should be a recovery time of at least 1 week between the OGTT and ITT as these experiments lead to substantial blood-loss and are thus very stressful for mice. If the blood collection volumes are decreased (e.g., if performing an ITT without additional blood collection first), this recovery period may also be shortened or omitted, in line with the guidelines for multiple blood draws in animals\textsuperscript{14,15,16,17}.

In this large study with 60 C57BL/6J mice in total, half of the mice were set on HFD or LFD at an age of 6 weeks (n = 30/group) and body weight gain was monitored for 16 weeks on diet. The consumption of HFD resulted in a significant increase in body weight as shown in Figure 4. At 6 weeks of age, the body weight was 20.2 g in both groups. Whereas mice on LFD showed a consistent, slightly increasing body weight (31.2 g ± 2.7) during the observed period, mice on HFD increased their body weight rapidly, especially during the first weeks and reached their body weight maximum after 16 weeks on the diet. Although the weight curves showed a similar pattern during the experiment, the mice of the HFD-group reached a 1.5- to 2-fold higher body weight (44.4 g ± 4.0) compared to the LFD-fed mice.

To investigate the metabolic phenotype of the two cohorts, an OGTT (Figure 5) and ITT (Figure 6) were performed. As blood volume is limited in small rodents, a point-of-care (POC) assay for diabetic humans (glucometer) was used to monitor blood glucose levels during these metabolic phenotyping experiments. As demonstrated in Figure 2, the blood glucose monitors are easy to use, need only a small drop of blood, and display blood glucose levels within seconds for documentation. Figure 5 presents the time course of absolute glucose (Figure 5a-b) and absolute insulin (Figure 5c) levels during the OGTT. Generally, a healthy mouse with normal glucose tolerance shows a characteristic rapid rise in blood glucose, reaching its peak 15-30 min after the glucose challenge.

Subsequent glucose uptake, primarily conducted by muscle, fat-tissue, and liver-tissue leads to a gradual decrease of the blood glucose concentration. In all experiments, the LFD-fed mice served as the glucose tolerant control group and therefore fulfilled the expected metabolic profile: the peak of the blood glucose levels of ~240 mg/dL was reached approximately 15 min after glucose administration, immediately followed by a decrease reaching basal levels approximately 60 min after the glucose challenge, indicating proper glucose elimination. In sharp contrast, HFD-mice peaked at approximately ~320 mg/dL glucose and showed nearly no disposal of glucose, indicating glucose resistance. When blood glucose levels between two groups already differ in the fasting state (as in this representative example), a calculation of the area under the curve (AUC) above baseline glucose should be performed to validate the results (Figure 5a-b).

Additionally, the circulating blood insulin levels were determined using an insulin-ELISA test (Figure 5c) in order to provide more information about the underlying pathophysiology in this model. Whereas the insulin levels were nearly unchanged in the control group, mice fed an HFD showed 16-fold elevated fasting levels compared to the control group, as well as a greatly increased insulin response, indicating HFD-induced compensatory hyperinsulinemia as an attempt to counterbalance decreased glucose elimination capacity, which may be caused by insulin resistance. However, be aware not to over-interpret the results of the OGTT, as this test does not directly evaluate insulin action and should not be used to conclude statements about insulin resistance.
To measure insulin sensitivity in the HFD-fed mice, an ITT was performed 1 week after OGTT (Figure 6a). In this assay, the degree to which blood glucose concentrations fall following insulin administration represent the efficiency of whole-body insulin action. The HFD-fed mice showed an impaired reduction of blood glucose levels compared to the LFD-fed control group, at all time points during the ITT, thus suggesting insulin resistance. The ITT results are usually presented as the time course of glucose levels, but additionally also the inverse AUC below baseline glucose may be shown as demonstrated in Figure 6b. If the groups which are compared have similar fasting blood glucose levels (which is not the case in this experiment), the glucose levels during ITT can also be presented as the percentage of basal glucose. As in mice, a counter-regulatory response to insulin is activated if the blood glucose levels fall below ~80 mg/dL\textsuperscript{18}, defects in this counter-regulatory response in a particular mouse model may be misinterpreted as an increase in insulin sensitivity. During HFDs and subsequent metabolic phenotypic experiments, outliers may frequently occur. Mice which do not gain weight on HFD, or those showing abnormal fasting glucose and/or insulin levels should be excluded from the analysis. For the latter two, an outlier test may be performed for each experimental group separately (e.g., Grubbs test).

In this study, as an example we showed and interpreted data of metabolic experiments in vivo, carried out on mice with diet-induced obesity, glucose intolerance, and insulin resistance, and compared them to a control group with normal body weight. As expected, there was impaired glucose tolerance and hyperinsulinemia in obese mice consistent with insulin resistance compared to the age-matched control mice; this was uncovered using well-established, reliable, time- and budget-friendly methods, which are relatively easy to perform. Differences in glucose tolerance, insulin levels as well as in insulin sensitivity, which are all obtained by the presented methods of OGTT and ITT, can often help to plan the next steps of a study, which may include more sophisticated experiments such as hyperglycemic or hyperinsulinemic clamps, as well as experiments with isolated pancreatic islets.

Figure 1. Schematic time table for a suggested diet regime and metabolic experiments in vivo. In order to investigate the metabolic effects of HFD in mice, the animals of the experimental group are placed on HFD at approximately 6 weeks of age, while the control group receives an LFD. The body weight of the mice should be determined on a weekly basis to assess proper weight gain. After approximately 12 weeks on diet (or a selected time point depending on the research hypothesis), the metabolic phenotype of the mice is evaluated by an OGTT followed by 1 week of recovery-time and subsequently an ITT. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.
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Figure 2. Methods for blood sampling during metabolic experiments. For the OGTT as well as for the ITT, where repeated blood sampling is required, we recommend drawing blood via carefully cutting a 1-2 mm piece of the tail tip with sharp scissors (Variant A), followed by the determination of blood glucose levels with a glucometer and further collection of blood with a capillary to determine insulin levels and other relevant blood values. Alternatively, blood might also be sampled via the tail vein (Variant B) or by arterial catheterization (not shown). Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.
Figure 3. Oral gavage of glucose (a) and intraperitoneal insulin injection (b). Representative images of oral glucose administration using a feeding needle during OGTT (a) and the intraperitoneal injection of insulin during ITT (b). See protocol for a detailed description. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.
Figure 4. Body weight gain of HFD-fed and LFD-fed C57BL/6J mice. C57BL/6J mice were either set on 60% HFD, or 10% LFD to serve as a control, for a period of 20 weeks. Whereas mice on HFD showed an expected increase in body weight, especially in the first weeks on diet, LFD-fed mice showed nearly constant body weight during the observed period. Results are mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. n = 30 per group. ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test were used to test for differences. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.
Figure 5. OGTT performed in HFD-fed and LFD-fed C57BL/6J animals. (a) Glucose levels during OGTT. After an overnight fast, glucose (mg/dL) levels were measured in fasting state and 15, 30, 45, and 60 min after administering glucose solution orally via gavage (1 g glucose/kg). Glucose levels in the HFD-group were elevated in the fasting state as well as after glucose challenge. The increase reached its peak after 15 min followed by a delayed and slow decrease. Results are mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. n = 30 per group. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test. (b) Glucose area under the curve (AUC) during OGTT. To calculate the baseline corrected AUC, basal glucose levels (time point 0) were subtracted from all later obtained blood glucose levels for each mouse individually, followed by the calculation of the individual AUCs. The AUC above the baseline glucose illustrates the glucose resistance in the HFD-fed mice. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test (glucose levels) or Student’s two tailed t-test (AUC). (c) Insulin levels during OGTT. Insulin (ng/mL) levels were measured after a fasting period of 4 h and 15, 30, and 60 min after administering glucose solution orally via gavage (1 g glucose/kg). HFD-fed mice not only compensated for the glucose injection with a higher increase in blood insulin levels, they also started and ended the OGTT with elevated insulin levels compared to the control group. Results are mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. n = 30 per group. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.
**Figure 6. ITTs performed in HFD-fed and LFD-fed C57BL/6J animals.** (a) Glucose levels during ITT. The glucose (mg/dL) levels were measured in fasting state and 15, 30, 45, and 60 min after injection of insulin intraperitoneally (0.75 U insulin/kg). During ITT, HFD-fed mice showed elevated glucose levels. The blood glucose levels were not adequately lowered in the HFD-fed mice after insulin injection. Results are mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, n = 30 per group. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test.

(b) Glucose area under the curve (AUC) during ITT. To calculate the baseline corrected inverse AUC, basal glucose levels (time point 0) were subtracted from all later obtained blood glucose levels for each mouse individually. The values were inverted (multiplication with -1), followed by the calculation of the individual AUCs. As a consequence of the higher glucose level in HFD-fed mice during OGTT, the baseline corrected inverse AUC was lower in the HFD-fed mice compared to control mice, which further suggested decreased insulin sensitivity. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test (glucose levels) or Student’s two tailed t-test (inverse AUC). Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.

**Supplementary Figure 1. Checklist for experiment preparation.** Please click here to download this file.

**Supplementary Figure 2. Insulin levels during ITTs.** Plasma insulin levels during the ITT in the LFD-fed versus the HFD-fed groups showed similar dynamics in the plasma insulin levels after insulin injection in both groups. As expected, the HFD mice exhibited strongly increased basal insulin levels compared to the control group. Further, the increase in the insulin levels in the HFD-fed mice was stronger, which may be partially caused by the overestimation of lean body mass if the amount of injected insulin is calculated based on the whole-body mass (the conventional normalization approach) as performed in this experiment. However, the insulin response was impaired in the HFD-fed group (insufficient reduction of plasma glucose levels), thus further emphasizing the insulin resistant state in these animals. Results are mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test. Please click here to download this file.
Discussion

With the high prevalence of diabetes and associated diseases in the world’s population, there is a strong requirement for research addressing the molecular mechanism, prevention, and treatment of disease\textsuperscript{15}. The presented protocol describes well-established methods for the generation of HFD mice, a robust animal model used for metabolic research, as well as the conduction of the OGTT and ITT, which are potent tools for the assessment of whole-body metabolic alterations such as insulin resistance. The methods presented in this paper may be useful to study the role of suspected genes, environmental factors as well as pharmacological, dietary, physical, or genetic therapies on whole body glucose-metabolism\textsuperscript{16,17}. While glucose serves as the principal stimulus for insulin secretion in an OGTT, the presented protocol may be modified by (co-) applying other substances such as other macronutrients and hormones which are known to modify the insulin response\textsuperscript{18}. Similarly, the ITT protocol may be modified by the (co-) application of other substances (e.g., glucagon or catecholamines) according to the individual research question. The main readouts of the described OGTT and ITT protocols are blood glucose and insulin concentrations; however, the measurement of other blood parameters such as glucagon, fatty acid, and lipoprotein levels, as well as of various metabolic markers on the mRNA and protein level may also be useful depending on the aim of the study.

Investigators should be aware that the neuroendocrine responses to hypoglycemia, insulin secretion, insulin action as well as the overall metabolic phenotype strongly depend on the genetic background of the mouse\textsuperscript{19}. Here, we utilized mice within the C57BL/6J genetic background as an HFD-induced model of diabetes, which have a partial impairment in glucose-mediated insulin secretion due to a naturally occurring deletion in the nicotinamide nucleotide transhydrogenase gene\textsuperscript{20}, making them a suitable model for studying obesity associated insulin resistance\textsuperscript{18,19}. The protocols described here may however also be utilized to metabolically characterize alternative mouse models of insulin resistance and diabetes, which are usually based on monogenic disorders or on the chemical destruction of β-cells\textsuperscript{21,22,23}. Precautions during experimental design include testing age-matched mice, as insulin sensitivity declines with age\textsuperscript{24}, and further to carry out the experiments in mice from the same sex. As genetic mutations and treatments may result in different phenotypes depending on sex\textsuperscript{25,26}, it may also be advisable to investigate both sexes separately from each other.

The blood sampling method described in this protocol does not require anesthesia, which may influence heart rate, blood flow, and glucose metabolism, yielding non-physiological results\textsuperscript{10}. Alternatively, an arterial catheter may be implanted, which allows vascular sampling without handling stress during the experiment, but also adds effort, costs as well as the risk of animal loss to the experiment. For the OGTT, mice are typically fasted overnight (14-18 h), which provokes a catabolic state in mice, strongly depleting liver glycogen stores. Throug this reduces the variability in baseline blood glucose levels, the prolonged fast decreases the metabolic rate and enhances glucose utilization in mice, which is in contrast to the situation in humans\textsuperscript{10,27}. As the feeding patterns in mice also do not mimic human behavior, it may be thus more physiological to perform an OGTT after a short fast. As circadian rhythms have a strong effect on systemic glucose metabolism\textsuperscript{28-30}, it is important to consider at which time of day the experiments described here are conducted. In order to investigate the metabolism of mice during their active period (the dark phase), a reversed light-dark cycle may be valuable to generate more physiological results.

The described route of administration may also be varied depending on the specific hypothesis being tested. Oral administration of glucose during a glucose tolerance test leads to more variable insulin secretion, as gastric emptying, gastrointestinal motility, hormones (incretins), and neural input modify and prolong the insulin response\textsuperscript{2,10}. During the well described “incretin effect”, the absorption of glucose from the intestine leads to the release of gastrointestinal hormones such as GLP1, which potentiates oral glucose-delivered insulin release\textsuperscript{31}. To circumvent these effects, a glucose bolus may also be administered intravenously (IVGTT) or intraperitoneally (IPGTT). Both glucose and insulin excursions significantly differ depending on the chosen delivery route. Compared to the OGTT, intraperitoneal administration of glucose leads to an increased and prolonged peak in plasma glucose levels, while plasma insulin levels rise in a delayed, but more sustained fashion\textsuperscript{21,22,23}. Similarly, intravenous glucose administration is characterized by a delayed insulin response\textsuperscript{31}. The sharp increases in insulin levels as well as more robust AUC-insulin data obtained during the OGTT suggest that oral delivery of glucose may be more sensitive to detect alterations in glucose metabolism in chow-fed versus HFD-fed mice\textsuperscript{20,31}. Both intragastric and intraperitoneal delivery are similar in terms of severity for the animal and technical difficulty, while intravenous administration is usually more difficult as well as more stressful for the mice\textsuperscript{2}. Oral administration further eliminates the 10-20% rate of error during intraperitoneal injections into the intestinal lumen or the stomach, which may impact the rate of glucose delivery and redistribution\textsuperscript{32,33}.

Although it is the most physiological route of the glucose delivery, the OGTT is limited in accounting for only glucose absorption, while a full meal also contains proteins, complex carbohydrates, fats, fibers, and micronutrients. The standard approach during OGTT is to base the glucose dose on the body weight of the mouse, while usually 1-3 g of glucose/kg of body weight are administered\textsuperscript{29,30}. In certain cases, a higher glucose loading than 1g/kg may be needed to reveal an impaired glucose tolerance\textsuperscript{29}. Many mouse models of obesity and diabetes are characterized by alterations in body composition, especially a massive increase in fat mass, while lean body mass (muscle, brain, and liver), which is the main site of glucose disposal does not change proportionally. The conventional normalization approach to body weight will thus result in a disproportionally higher dose of glucose to which the lean tissue in an obese mouse is exposed compared to the non-obese mouse. This bias increases with a higher glucose dose\textsuperscript{2}. Therefore, optimally the dose of glucose (OGTT) as well as insulin (ITT) should be calculated based on the lean body mass, if body composition data are available\textsuperscript{31}. If the assessment of body composition is not possible due to technical limitations, the dosing should be performed according to the body weight (Supplemental Figure 2), while applying a fixed dose, such as in a human OGTT should be the last resort if performing these tests in mice\textsuperscript{29,30,36}. In the presented protocol, a hand-held whole-blood monitor was used to measure blood glucose levels, which is advantageous in tests such as OGTT and ITT that require multiple sampling of small blood volumes. However, these devices are designed for human blood, having a reduced dynamic range. Alternatively, the glucose levels can be measured in the collected plasma samples, e.g., by fully automated chemistry analyzers in routine laboratories. In addition to insulin, C-peptide may be measured in the described protocols as a more direct indicator of β-cell secretory function, which is not extracted by the liver in contrast to insulin\textsuperscript{31,38,39}. If glucoseogenesis needs to be assessed, the pyruvate tolerance test (PTT) may be applied, which is another variant of the here described protocols, monitoring glycemic excursions after the administration of a pyruvate bolus\textsuperscript{31}.

The here described approaches of the OGTT and ITT can often explain observed differences in glucose tolerance and may further serve to suggest which subsequent, more sophisticated experiments shall be conducted next (e.g., hyperglycemic clamps or studies on isolated islets). In summary, we present a simple protocol for the generation of an HFD-induced mouse model and further describe the OGTT and ITT, which are
powerful tools to assess alterations of the metabolic phenotype in vivo and may be useful to study metabolism-associated disease mechanisms as well as novel therapeutic approaches.
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