Assessment of Methane and Nitrous Oxide Fluxes from Paddy Field by Means of Static Closed Chambers Maintaining Plants Within Headspace
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Abstract

This protocol describes the measurement of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from paddy soils using the static closed chamber technique. This method is based on the diffusion theory. A known volume of air overlaying a defined soil area is enclosed within a parallelepiped cover (named "chamber"), for a defined period of time. During this enclosure period, gases (methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O)) move from soil pore air near their microbial source (i.e., methanogens, nitrifiers, denitrifiers) to the chamber headspace, following a natural concentration gradient. Fluxes are then estimated from chamber headspace concentration variations sampled at regular intervals throughout the enclosure and then analyzed with gas chromatography. Among the techniques available for GHG measurement, the static closed chamber method is suitable for plot experiments, as it does not require large homogenously treated soil areas. Furthermore, it is manageable with limited resources and can identify relationships among ecosystem properties, processes, and fluxes, especially when combined with GHG driving force measurements. Nevertheless, with respect to the micrometeorological method, it causes a minimal but still unavoidable soil disturbance, and allows a minor temporal resolution. Several phases are key to the method implementation: i) chamber design and deployment, ii) sample handling and analyses, and iii) flux estimation. Technique implementation success in paddy fields demands adjustments for field flooding during much of the cropping cycle, and for rice plant maintenance within the chamber headspace during measurements. Therefore, the additional elements to be considered with respect to the usual application of non-flooded agricultural soils consist of devices for: i) avoiding any unintended water disturbance that could overestimate fluxes, and ii) including rice plants within the chamber headspace to fully consider gases emitted through aerenchyma transportation.

Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at https://www.jove.com/video/56754/

Introduction

Agriculture is a productive sector that, together with forestry and other land use, produces approximately 21% of global GHG emissions. Accurate measurement of agricultural soil GHG emissions is key not only to establish the proper role determination of agroecosystems as both source and sink in climate change, but also to define appropriate and effective mitigation strategies within the framework of the Paris agreement targets.

Emission fluxes of the two most important GHGs produced by agricultural soils (i.e., N₂O and CH₄) are measurable by either micrometeorological methods or the closed-chamber technique. The vast majority of studies reporting data on GHG emissions from soils over the past three decades applied the closed-chamber technique that was first described in 1926. Several efforts have been made to fine-tune the technique, and overcome all sources of experimental artifact and bias. Specific protocols, compiled at different times, aimed to standardize the methodologies, and scientific attempts are still underway to establish the best practices for employing the technique and minimizing bias in flux estimates.

The static closed-chamber technique, whose application to paddy soils is described in this paper, relies on the diffusion theory and provides the enclosure of a known volume of air above a portion of soil surface for a precise period. During the enclosure, CH₄ and N₂O molecules migrate by diffusion along a natural concentration gradient from soil pore air, where they are produced by specific microorganisms (methanogens in the case of CH₄; nitrifiers and denitrifiers for N₂O), to the air enclosed within chamber headspace, eventually through the flooding water or the plant aerenchyma. The concentrations of the two gases within the chamber headspace increase over time, and occurrence of these increases provides for flux estimates.

With respect to the micrometeorological methods, closed chamber measurements are often preferred for differing land use types and ecosystems when studying GHG fluxes at the plot scale, because they are not encumbered by a large homogenous field or high logistical and investment requirements. Moreover, they allow the simultaneous analysis of manipulated experiments, such as different agronomical practices.
or other field treatments\textsuperscript{12,21}. Finally, the technique allows identification of the relationships among ecosystem properties, processes, and fluxes. Alternatively, two main drawbacks of the technique include the relatively inefficient exploration of spatial and temporal heterogeneity, and the effects of soil disturbance due to chamber deployment\textsuperscript{22}. However, these detriments can, at least partially, be overcome with: proper chamber design (to minimize soil disturbance), adoption of a sufficient number of replicates (to explore spatial variability), and automated system use that permits intensification of the frequency of daily measurements (to account for diurnal variability) or regular (same time of day) measurement (to omit the effect of temperature in residual variability).

A first application of the method to a paddy field dates back to the early 80’s\textsuperscript{23}, and the main peculiarities of its use with respect to upland fields are the presence of flooding water on soil and the need to include plants within the headspace during chamber enclosure. As carefully described in this paper, the first trait implies the need for specific systems to prevent water disturbance during measurement events, to avoid flux overestimates caused by turbulence-induced enhancement of gas diffusion through flooding water. The second essential trait is to account for gas transport through rice aerenchyma, representing up to 90% of emitted CH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{24}, which requires proper devices to include plants during measurement events.

### Protocol

#### 1. Chamber Design

1. Assemble each chamber with three main elements: an anchor, a lid, and at least four extensions.
2. Build the anchor in the shape of a 75 cm x 36 cm x 25 cm (L x W x H) rectangular box made of stainless steel. Weld a water-fillable channel 10-13 mm (w) x 13-20 mm (h) to the upper rectangular perimeter of the anchor. Drill two holes (1 cm in diameter) on each of the four sides of the anchor 5 cm from the upper water channel.
   NOTE: Anchors isolate the soil column beneath the chamber and prevent lateral diffusion. The channel is necessary to achieve an effective seal between the anchor and the lid. The holes ensure a fast discharge of ponding water within the chamber during the field drainage events.
3. Build a lid in a rectangular box shape of stainless steel and sized 75 cm x 36 cm x 20 cm (L x W x H) with an internal volume of 54 L. Ensure that it fits the water-fillable channel perfectly.
4. Cover the lid with a 4-cm thick closed cell foam that is, in turn, covered by a light reflective (aluminum-like) coating.
   NOTE: It is necessary to equip the chamber with a temperature control system so as not to promote microbial activity artificially, consequent to an unintended temperature increase during chamber closure.
5. Equip each lid with a vent valve, made of a curved piece of plastic tubing (1.5 cm x 24 cm, D x L) sized for the chamber volume and wind conditions\textsuperscript{25}. Connect the vent valve to the lid by drilling a 1.5 cm hole in the center of one of the two 36-cm lateral faces of the lid. Then secure the plastic tube with a screw connector.
   NOTE: The vent valve is recommended for transmitting any barometric changes to the enclose air volume and compensating for volume changes that occur during chamber enclosure and air sampling withdrawal, and/or associated with the uncontrolled, enclosed air temperature changes. The vent should be a tube and not simply a hole, so that air exhausted from the enclosure during a drop in external pressure is captured within the tube and then returned to the enclosure in case the pressure increase again. The curved shape minimizes the potential of chamber depressurization due to wind flow over its external opening, i.e., the Venturi effect\textsuperscript{26}.
6. Provide a sampling port for withdrawing gas samples. Make a 1 cm hole in the center of the top of the lid in a 7-cm x 7-cm niche dug into the cell foam. Close the hole with a rubber stopper that fits a Teflon tube (3 mm internal diameter, 20 cm length). Ensure that the Teflon tube extends 3 cm and intrudes 17 cm when the stopper is placed in its niche. Connect the outwards part of the tube to a one-way stopcock to manage the opening/closure of the sampling port.
7. Equip each lid with a 12V PC fan powered by a 12V-7Ah rechargeable and portable battery, to ensure air mixing. Situate the PC fan on the internal upper side of the lid by means of two steel fasteners bolted to the internal side of the chamber.
   NOTE: Air mixing is necessary to prevent any gas stratification within the chamber headspace during enclosure, especially when large quantities of vegetation are present.
8. Build a number of extensions to include the plants inside the chamber when they are fully grown. For example, if the plants will not exceed 80 cm height at their final size, build 4 extensions for each chamber. Ensure that each is a rectangular box made of stainless steel and 75 x 36 x 25 cm (L x W x H) in size, and with an upper water-fillable channel, as described for the anchor. Add these extensions between an anchor and lid during the chamber enclosure, depending on the crop stage.

#### 2. Anchor Deployment and Arrangement of the System for Preventing Soil Disturbance

1. Insert anchors into the soil after field preparation (i.e., after all tillage operations) and before rice seeding. If possible, do not remove the anchors for the duration of the measurement period unless strictly necessary, such as for tillage operation between two subsequent cropping seasons. Insert the anchors a few days (minimum of 2 days) before flux measurement starts, so that the soil re-equilibrates after disturbance during installation.
2. Before distributing any anchor on the bare soil, place 30 cm x 3 m (W x L) wood planks (in the field and walk exclusively on them during the following operations to avoid soil compaction. Place the planks at least 0.5 m from each anchor.
3. Insert anchors at a depth of 40 cm into the ploughed pan to secure the anchor and avoid accidental lateral bending after field flooding, especially when extension is used. After the anchors have been positioned on the soil in the assigned field area, place a custom-assembled steel frame atop the anchor, while maintaining proper alignment of both components. Hammer the anchor into the soil, and pay attention to hit the frame and not the anchor, to prevent damage to the anchor. After insertion, ensure that the anchors are perfectly flat by using a bubble level.
4. Insert at least three anchors for each monitored treatment (i.e., replicates). Respect a minimum distance between adjacent chambers of 1 m, in case more than one chamber within the same experimental unit needs to be used.
5. Once all the anchors are inserted, temporarily remove the wooden walking planks, and then rearrange the field with a system of catwalks originating from the lateral banks of the field. In detail, place in the field concrete blocks at least 0.5 m far from the anchors, in numbers sufficient to hold a system of wooden planks.
3. Chamber Closure and GHG Measurements

1. Run measurement events always at the same time each day, to minimize diurnal variability. 
   NOTE: The moment best representing average daily flux is when temperatures are close to the daily mean, i.e., at 10 a.m. This is the best 
   way to estimate the daily cumulative value from a unique measurement in the day.
2. When arriving in the field, place wooden planks on the concrete blocks to reach anchors. Afterwards, fill the channels placed on the upper 
   perimeter of the anchors with water. Carefully add extensions as necessary to enclose all the plants within the chamber headspace. 
   NOTE: This operation should be performed by two operators in order to avoid any crop damage. Fill with water the channel of each used 
   extension as well.
3. Close each chamber, placing the lid in the water-filled channel of the upper extension. During the closing period (typically 15-20 min, but 
   modifiable for meeting experimental needs), withdraw at least three gas samples at equal time-intervals (e.g., just after closure, after 10 
   min, and after 20 min). At samplings, connect a 50-mL syringe equipped with a one-way stopcock to the sampling port, then open the two 
   stopcocks (one in the syringe and one in the sampling port), "rinse" the syringe by moving the plunger up and down three times before 
   withdrawing 35 mL of chamber headspace, and then finally close the two stopcocks. Disconnect the syringe from the sampling port, and store 
   it apart.
   NOTE: When operating near the chambers during field flooding, avoid any disturbance or turbulence of ponding water as it can produce 
   atypical gas bubbles and alter GHG flux estimates.
4. Add several extensions suitable to contain rice plants. Interpose extensions between the anchor and lid, filling all water-fillable channels. Use 
   one extension when the rice is 20-40 cm above the soil surface (as measured with a folding ruler); use two extensions when the rice is 40-60 
   cm, and so on.
5. During the chamber closure, measure the headspace temperature every 3-5 min with a temperature datalogger.
6. Consider the sampling event complete after the closure period. Remove the lid and subsequently all used extensions. 
   NOTE: To shorten the time required to monitor several chambers, and avoid diurnal variability bias, it is possible to measure 
   contemporaneously more than one chamber. For example, with a team of two operators, it is possible to manage sampling from up to 10 
   adjacent chambers in 30 min.
7. After each sampling event, measure the headspace height of each chamber from the soil (when the field is drained) or from ponding water 
   (when the field is flooded) using a folding ruler.

4. Sample Handling and Analyses

1. Prior to each paddy field visit, evacuate three (or more) 12-mL glass vials closed with butyl-rubber septa per field chamber in the laboratory. 
   NOTE: Vials can be re-used. Before each re-use, it is necessary to substitute the rubber septum and restore the vacuum.
2. Following the withdrawal of gas from the chamber headspace, transfer the syringe-filled samples to the evacuated vials quickly because 
   plastic syringes, even with closed stopcock, cannot guarantee no leakage. Perform the transfer with a 25-gauge hypodermic needle. First, 
   fit the needle into the stopcock, then open it and flush the needle with 5 mL of sample. Next, insert the needle into the septum and push the 
   remaining 30 mL sample into a pre-evacuated vial, and then withdraw the needle.
   NOTE: The sample inside the vial is > 2 atm pressurized to provide gas for multiple analyses and to avoid any mass flux from the external 
   environment toward the sample, which would alter its GHG concentration. The 5-mL sample flush of the needle allows it to be reused for 
   other samples.
3. At the end of each sampling event, transfer the vials for the laboratory for analysis. 
   NOTE: Although conservation of the sample is guaranteed at 20 °C for more than four months, it is always preferable to perform analytical 
   procedures as soon as possible.
4. Determine gas concentrations in the collected samples using an automated gas chromatograph equipped with an electron capture detector 
   for N₂O determination and a flame ionization detector for CH₄ determination. In addition to the samples, measure the concentrations of a 
   number of known N₂O and CH₄ samples (standards) in order to perform an accurate calibration. 
   NOTE: The concentration of the standards should cover the range of expected concentrations of the samples.
5. Flux Estimation

1. The model chosen for flux estimation should predict the flux at the moment of chamber deployment, i.e., the ideal moment at which the true 
   exchange rate is unaffected by chamber presence.
2. After determining the concentration of gas on a base volume via gas chromatographic analysis and subsequent calibration, calculate the 
   absolute amount of substance (N₂O or CH₄) present within the headspace, according to the air molar volume derived from the Ideal Gas Law. 
   NOTE: It is highly advisable to produce a calibration curve associated with each sampling event, since gas chromatograph can suffer slight 
   signal alterations as a function of temperatures, which can lead to errors.
3. Choose between a linear or non-linear model, depending on the emission pattern. Among available non-linear models, select the HM 
   model, eventually relying on the HMR package. If you have three time-points (time 0, time 1 and time 2) choose based on the slope of the 
   two segments: in the event that the slope between time 0 and time 1 is greater in absolute values than the slope between time 1 and time 2 
   and slopes are concordant, use the HM model; in all the other cases, use a linear model. If you have more than three time-points, fit the two 
   models using HMR, but afterwards choose on your own based on visual evaluation of the model best fitting the trend.
4. Set to zero fluxes under the Minimum Detectable Flux, calculated according to the detection limit of gas chromatograph and operating 
   conditions (temperature, pressure, headspace volume).
5. To describe the seasonal variability of fluxes properly, provide at least 40 sampling events throughout the year (monitoring both cycles and 
   intercropping periods), intensifying the sampling frequency near pivotal events of the cropping cycle, such as tillage, fertilizations, drainage,
establishment of flooding conditions, surfacing of rice seedlings from ponding water, and so on. Transition from a maximum frequency of daily (e.g., at drainage periods, fertilizations, etc.) to a minimum of once bi-weekly (e.g., during winter).

Representative Results

Every measurement event produces a series of GHG concentrations over time for each of the monitored chambers that is the basis for estimating GHG fluxes. Basically, there is no particular need to discard data, but a high incidence of situations that fall outside the theoretical model of monotonic function (strictly increasing or decreasing) warrants attention on the accuracy of protocol application and possible unpredicted errors (e.g., vials leakage).

Figure 1 reports an entire year of correct example CH$_4$ fluxes. As demonstrated with error bars, such results may vary greatly, mainly as a consequence of the spatial heterogeneity of microbial processes responsible for GHG production. For users who experience high variability, such results do not necessarily signal bad results. To address high variability that makes treatment differences impossible to detect, simply increase the number of replicates.

In Figure 2, an example of poor exploration of seasonal variability is shown: the insufficient number of measuring events led to an underestimation of yearly fluxes.

Daily fluxes can be subsequently combined to calculate cumulative emissions over a solar year, over a cropping season, or over specific cropping stages. Typically, the calculation of cumulative fluxes relies on the linear change of fluxes between two subsequent measuring events. An example of cumulative fluxes is shown in Figure 3 for CH$_4$.

![Figure 1](https://www.jove.com/images/56754/Fig1.png)

**Figure 1.** Example of seasonal variation of CH$_4$ daily fluxes from a flooded paddy field over a full year, including both a cropping cycle (from May to September) and inter-cropping periods. Error bars represent standard errors. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.
**Figure 2.** Example of seasonal variation of CH$_4$ daily fluxes from a flooded paddy field over a whole year, with an insufficient number of measuring events not well covering all the pivotal moments for GHG emissions. Error bars represent standard errors. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.

**Figure 3.** Example of cumulative CH$_4$ emissions over a cropping season. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.

**Figure 4.** Main phases of method application with corresponding critical points and indicators of success. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.
**Discussion**

The application of the static closed-chamber technique in paddy rice consists of five essential phases, corresponding to the main sections described in the Protocol. Each phase contains critical points of which to be aware, and indicators to check the success of phase implementation, as summarized in Figure 4.

Most critical points described in Figure 4 are already addressed in the protocol and could be easily resolved by following the included recommendations. The trickiest critical point of the present protocol is the calculation of fluxes based on GHG concentration variation during chamber enclosure. Also when using the package HMR for calculations, it is advisable to select the best model to apply, independent of the HMR suggestion, based on visual evaluation. This is even more important when concentrations in time deviate from the expected behavior of consistent increase or decrease.

Several variations of the described technique are possible within the structure of the main principles, especially related to chamber geometry (the chamber can also be cylindrical), chamber material (any non-permeable, non-reactive, non-source/sink of gas molecules under consideration, and easy to use material, such as Teflon which is suitable, but more expensive), and type of GHG analyzer (portable systems are available that do not require gas transfer in syringes and vials). Nevertheless, measuring GHG fluxes from soils is a pivotal step required to monitor climate change sources, to understand processes leading to emissions, to study the effectiveness of possible mitigation strategies, and to inform models for predicting future scenarios. It is more important than ever to adopt common protocols that will build a uniform body of knowledge to monitor agroecosystems for the global GHG budget.

The Ideal Gas Law is here applied to calculate the molar volume of real gases. This application is widely used and accepted in the specific body of literature, and the ideal gas approximation can be used with reasonable accuracy.

Finally, depending on the experimental questions to be addressed within the context of GHG measurements, consider measuring the main drivers of CH₄ and N₂O emissions, such as soil temperature, redox potential, soil pore Dissolved Organic Carbon concentrations, soil pore nitrates, and ammonium concentration.
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