An Inertial Measurement Unit Based Method to Estimate Hip and Knee Joint Kinematics in Team Sport Athletes on the Field
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Abstract

Current athlete monitoring practice in team sports is mainly based on positional data measured by global positioning or local positioning systems. The disadvantage of these measurement systems is that they do not register lower extremity kinematics, which could be a useful measure for identifying injury-risk factors. Rapid development in sensor technology may overcome the limitations of the current measurement systems. With inertial measurement units (IMUs) securely fixed to body segments, sensor fusion algorithms and a biomechanical model, joint kinematics could be estimated. The main purpose of this article is to demonstrate a sensor setup for estimating hip and knee joint kinematics of team sport athletes in the field. Five male subjects (age 22.5 ± 2.1 years; body mass 77.0 ± 3.8 kg; height 184.3 ± 5.2 cm; training experience 15.3 ± 4.8 years) performed a maximal 30-meter linear sprint. Hip and knee joint angles and angular velocities were obtained by five IMUs placed on the pelvis, both thighs and both shanks. Hip angles ranged from 195° (± 8°) extension to 100.5° (± 8°) flexion and knee angles ranged from 168.6° (± 12°) minimal flexion and 62.8° (± 12°) maximal flexion. Furthermore, hip angular velocity ranged between 802.6 °·s⁻¹ (± 192 °·s⁻¹) and -674.9 °·s⁻¹ (± 130 °·s⁻¹), Knee angular velocity ranged between 1155.9 °·s⁻¹ (± 200 °·s⁻¹) and -1208.2 °·s⁻¹ (± 264 °·s⁻¹). The sensor setup has been validated and could provide additional information with regard to athlete monitoring in the field. This may help professionals in a daily sports setting to evaluate their training programs, aiming to reduce injury and optimize performance.

Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at https://www.jove.com/video/60857/

Introduction

Team sports (e.g., soccer and field hockey) are characterized by alternating brief explosive actions such as high-intensity running or sprinting, with longer periods of less demanding activities like walking or jogging. Over the last decades, the physical demands of the game evolved with more distance covered at high speed and sprinting, faster ball speeds and more passes. The disadvantage of this is that athletes train hard in order to maintain and improve their physical capacity to withstand the physical demands of the game, which increases the risk of injury in both professional and amateur team sport athletes.

One of the major risks accompanied with high training and match stimuli are muscle strain injuries. Muscle strain injuries constitute more than a third of all time-loss injuries in team sports and cause more than a quarter of the total injury absence, with the hamstrings being the most frequently involved. Furthermore, the number of athletes that sustain a hamstring strain injury rises each year, despite that multiple programs have been introduced to prevent hamstring strain injuries. Consequently, this has a negative influence from sportive and performance enhancement.
Current athlete monitoring practice in team sports is mainly based on position data measured by local or global positioning systems. These systems monitor activity with GPS-based metrics such as distance covered, average running velocity or accelerometry-based metrics such as PlayerLoad. A disadvantage of these measures is that they do not include lower extremity kinematics. Optoelectronic measurement systems serve as a gold standard to perform a kinematic analysis of the lower extremities during a linear sprint. The disadvantages of these systems are a lack of ecological validity due to their restricted measurement area, the need of an expert to operate the system and the time consuming data analysis. Thus, this method is not suitable for daily sports practice.

Rapid development in sensor technology may overcome the limitations of current methods to monitor athletes. The recent reliability, miniaturisation and data storage possibilities of inertial measurement units (IMU) enables in-field application of sensor technology. IMUs contain an accelerometer, gyroscope and magnetometer which measure the acceleration, angular velocity and magnetic field, in three orthogonal axes respectively. With sensors securely fixed to body segments, sensor fusion algorithms and a biomechanical model, it is possible to estimate joint kinematics. The registration of joint kinematics in combination with information about the acceleration of different body segments may improve athlete monitoring in team sports.

By coupling the IMU sensor setup to a standardized field test, it can be illustrated how lower extremity kinematics are registered during linear sprinting in the field, which could be a useful measure for identifying injury-risk factors. The sensor setup could provide additional information to current monitoring measures that professionals may use for optimizing training schedules to improve performance and minimize injury risk. Therefore, the main purpose of this article is to demonstrate an inertial sensor setup for estimating hip and knee joint kinematics of team sport athletes in the field.

### Protocol

All methods described in this section have been approved by the ethical committee of the Center for Human Movement Sciences of the University of Groningen (Register number: 201800904).

#### 1. Field test and inertial measurement unit preparation

1. Set two cones at least 1 m from each other to determine the start of the field test.
   
   NOTE: The 1-m distance between the cones enables the subject to run easily through the starting point of the field test. This distance can be adjusted to the preference of the test leader.

2. Determine the end point of the field test by rolling measuring tape from the starting point of the test until a 30-m linear distance has been travelled.

3. Set two cones at least 1 m from each other to determine the end point of the field test.

4. Prepare the IMUs to get properly attached to the subject's body.
   
   NOTE: See Table of Materials for IMU dimensions and weight characteristics.
   
   1. Cut stretch tape into 5 pieces the size of 10 cm x 10 cm.
   
   2. Cut double-sided adhesive tape (e.g., toupee tape) into 5 pieces equal to the size of the IMUs that are used.

   3. Attach a piece of double-sided adhesive tape to each IMU.

   4. Label each IMU, so that it can be recognized individually during data analysis.

#### 2. Subject preparation

1. Obtain information about the subject's gender, age, body weight, and height. Ask the subject to fill in a questionnaire about their background in team sports. Obtain written informed consent from subjects that meet the inclusion criteria.
   
   NOTE: Examples of questions: (i) For how many years do you play soccer? (ii) At which level do you play soccer? (iii) How many hours per week do you have soccer training during the past 6 months? (iv) What is your playing position? (v) Did you experience any pain or did you sustain a musculoskeletal injury at the lower extremity during the past 6 months?

2. Determine if the subject meets the inclusion criteria.
   
   NOTE: Include subjects when they did not experience any musculoskeletal injuries or pain in the lower extremities in the 6 months before executing the protocol; Subjects should have more than 1-year experience in competing team sports at amateur level.

3. Ask the subject to change into sports clothing (e.g., a soccer shirt, soccer shorts and soccer shoes).
   
   NOTE: Because sensors will be placed on the tibia, soccer socks are unwanted.

4. Prepare the IMUs for attaching to the subject's body.
   
   1. Align all 5 IMUs next to each other.

   2. Activate all five IMUs at the same time by pushing a button on top of the sensor. The sensor is activated when a green light is blinking.

   NOTE: From this moment, each IMU samples data at 500 Hz. Data is stored on a SD card internally. Data has to be uploaded to a laptop or computer after the test has been completed.

   3. Ensure that a mechanical peak has been generated by tapping all IMUs on a hard surface at the same time (e.g., on a table).

   NOTE: The mechanical peak is needed for synchronizing the IMU signals. Synchronization of the IMU signals is performed during data processing (section 5). This section is not necessary when commercially available sensors are used. In that case, use the corresponding software to synchronize the sensors.

5. Attach the IMUs to the subject's body (Figure 1).
1. Shave the subject's body hair at the following anatomical locations: at the sacrum between both posterior superior iliac spines, the anteromedial bony part of both right and left tibia, and the lateral part of both right and left thigh (i.e., tractus iliotibialis).

NOTE: The anatomical locations where sensors should be placed can be determined by palpation.

2. Spray adhesive spray on the anatomical locations described in step 2.5.1. Wait 5–10 s to make sure the adhesive spray is dry.

NOTE: Hold the spray at least 10 cm (4 inches) away from the skin and spray the desired area with a sweeping motion.

3. Remove the protective layer of the double-sided adhesive tape from the IMUs.

4. Place the IMU at the described anatomical locations. Write down the anatomical location with the corresponding label of the IMU (e.g., right shank: IMU 1).

5. Attach the stretching tape on top of each IMU to make sure the sensor is additionally secured to the skin.

3. IMU sensor calibration

1. Instruct the subject to stand still in a neutral position with their feet hip-width apart and their hands in their side. Maintain this position for a minimum period of 5 s.

2. Instruct the subject to flex their left hip and knee to a 90° angle in the sagittal plane followed by extending the hip to their neutral position as described in step 3.1.

NOTE: For definitions of kinematic variables, see Figure 2.

3. Repeat step 3.2 for the right hip and knee.

4. Instruct the participant to flex their trunk towards the ground and return to their neutral position.

5. Wait for a minimum period of 5 s.

6. Repeat steps 3.1–3.5 once.

4. Execute the 30-m linear sprint test

1. Instruct the subject to perform a warming up (e.g., the soccer specific FIFA 11+ warming up programme20).

2. Inform the subject about the test protocol.

1. Clearly state that the risk of sustaining an injury during the test is not higher than during normal training and that the subject can abort the test at any time, without giving any reason.

2. Instruct the subject to stand in the correct starting position, with their preferred foot standing on the starting line and their shoulders behind the starting line on the field.

3. Instruct the subject that the test leader will count down from 3 to 0 followed by shouting 'Start'. Instruct that when 'Start' has been called, the test begins.

4. Inform the subject to sprint as fast as possible until the 30-m end point has been reached. After the 30-m finish point has been reached, the subject has to decelerate as fast as possible to a standstill position.

5. Allow the subject to ask questions. If needed, allow the subject to perform a practice run to familiarize the subject with the protocol.

6. Ask the subject if the instructions were clear.

7. Make sure the subject is in the correct starting position.

8. Count down from ‘3’ to ‘0’ and shout ‘Start’ to start the test. Start the timer when the start sign has been given.

9. Encourage the subject in order to achieve maximal performance.

10. Stop the timer when the participant has reached their standstill position.

11. Repeat steps 4.4–4.6 until three sprints have been performed.

NOTE: Let participants rest for at least 2 min between trials. Include the fastest sprint for data analysis.

12. Instruct the subject to perform a cooling down.

13. Detach the IMUs from the subject by removing the stretch tape and double-sided adhesive tape from the subject's body.

5. Data processing

1. Connect the IMU with a computer by using a USB cable. Export the raw IMU data to a specific folder on the computer.

2. Open MATLAB (version r2018b). Import the raw IMU data files (i.e., accelerometer, gyroscope and magnetometer data).

3. Synchronize the raw IMU data files.

1. Import the acceleration data file of one sensor (e.g., pelvis sensor).

2. Calculate jerk by differentiating the X, Y and Z acceleration signals. Sum up the X, Y, and Z jerk to obtain the total jerk.

3. Obtain the mechanical peak by finding the index value in the data file where the total jerk has reached its maximal value. The index value is the start of the measurement.

4. Delete all data points of the acceleration data, magnetometer data and gyroscope data before the index value of the sensor.

5. Repeat steps 5.3.1–5.3.3 for each raw data file of the corresponding sensor.

6. Determine which sensor contains the lowest amount of data points by obtaining the number of sampled data points for each data file.

7. Cut all other data files equal to the size of the sensor that registered signals for the shortest time period.

4. Filter gyroscope data using a second order low-pass Butterworth filter with a cutoff frequency of 12 Hz.

NOTE: The filter and particular cutoff frequency were chosen based on visual data inspection in previous pilot experiments.

5. Obtain sensor orientation with respect to the global earth frame by calculating the orientation quaternion of the sensor using a Madgwick filter35.

NOTE: An extensive description of how sensor orientation with respect to the global earth frame is calculated is described in Madgwick et al.35.

6. Align the sensor coordinate frame to body segment.
1. Select the index numbers of the data file when the subject was standing still during calibration (step 3.1).
   NOTE: It is assumed that the longitudinal axis of the sensor is similar to the gravity vector.
2. Use the index numbers of step 5.6.1 to calculate the average orientation of each sensor with respect to the global reference frame during the static calibration. Then rotate the sensor frame of each sensor, so that it aligns with the global reference frame during static calibration.
3. Select the index numbers of the data file when the calibration movement of the left leg was performed (step 3.2).
4. Rotate the orientation of the left leg sensors in such a way that the calibration movement is a rotation about the frontal axis only.
5. Repeat steps 5.6.3 and 5.6.4 for the calibration movements of the right leg and trunk.

6. Data analysis
   1. Import the filtered gyroscope data in MATLAB.
   2. Use a peak detection function to identify peaks in the gyroscope signal.
      NOTE: Peak height was set at 286.5 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) and minimal peak distance was set at 100 samples (= 0.2 s).

7. Obtain joint orientations by expressing the orientation of the distal body segment in the coordinate frame of the proximal segment for each joint.
8. Obtain joint angles by decomposing the obtained joint orientations into 'XZY' Euler angles.
   NOTE: How to decompose the obtained joint orientations into 'XZY' Euler angles is described in the work of Diebel\(^{36}\).
9. Obtain joint angular velocities expressing the gyroscope signals of each distal segment in the coordinate frame of its corresponding proximal segment minus the angular velocity of the proximal segment.
10. Identify each step during the linear sprint by using a step detection algorithm.
    1. Import the filtered gyroscope data in MATLAB.
    2. Use a peak detection function to identify peaks in the gyroscope signal.
       NOTE: Peak height was set at 286.5 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) and minimal peak distance was set at 100 samples (= 0.2 s).

11. For each step, calculate the maximum value for hip angle, knee angle, hip angular velocity, and knee angular velocity.
12. For each step, calculate the minimum value for hip angle, knee angle, hip angular velocity, and knee angular velocity.
13. For each step, calculate the hip range of motion by subtracting the minimum hip angle from the maximum hip angle.
14. For each step, calculate the knee range of motion by subtracting the minimum knee angle from the maximum knee angle.
15. Save the processed data to a specific folder on the computer in order to use it for further analysis.

Representative Results

Five subjects (all male; all soccer players; age 22.5 ± 2.1 years; body mass 77.0 ± 3.8 kg; height 184.3 ± 5.2 cm; training experience 15.3 ± 4.8 years) performed a maximal 30-m linear sprint. Hip angles ranged between 100.5° (± 8°) maximal flexion and 183.1° (± 8°) maximal extension during acceleration, 104.1° (± 8°) maximal flexion and 195° (± 8°) maximal extension during top speed, and 128.4° (± 11°) maximal flexion and 171.9° (± 23°) minimal flexion during deceleration. Hip angular velocities ranged between 744.9 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) (± 154 °⋅s\(^{-1}\)) and –578 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) (± 99 °⋅s\(^{-1}\)) during acceleration, 802.6 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) (± 192 °⋅s\(^{-1}\)) and -674.9 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) (± 130 °⋅s\(^{-1}\)) during top speed, and 447.7 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) (± 255 °⋅s\(^{-1}\)) and -430.3 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) (± 189 °⋅s\(^{-1}\)) during deceleration.

Furthermore, knee angles ranged between 73.5° (± 12°) maximal flexion and 162.6° (± 7°) minimal flexion during acceleration, 62.8° (± 12°) maximal flexion and 164.8° (± 6°) minimal flexion during top speed, and 81.1° (± 16°) maximal flexion and 168.6° (± 12°) minimal flexion during deceleration. Knee angular velocity varied between 935.8 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) (± 186 °⋅s\(^{-1}\)) and -1137.8 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) (± 214 °⋅s\(^{-1}\)) during acceleration, between 1155.9 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) (± 200 °⋅s\(^{-1}\)) and -1208.2 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) (± 264 °⋅s\(^{-1}\)) during top speed, and 1000.1 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) (± 282 °⋅s\(^{-1}\)) and -1004.3 °⋅s\(^{-1}\) (± 324 °⋅s\(^{-1}\)). Figure 3 illustrates continuous kinematic data of one trial of the linear 30-m sprint test, whereas Figure 4 and Figure 5 illustrate kinematic data of one stride cycle during acceleration, top speed and deceleration of one trial.
Figure 1: Representation of sensor placement. (A) Sensor placement on the right and left shank. (B) Sensor placement on the pelvis, and right and left thigh. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.

Figure 2: Definitions for hip and knee joint angles and angular velocities. (A) Representation of the neutral position in the sagittal plane. Joint angles in neutral position are 180°. (B) Representation of hip joint (θ hip), knee joint (θ knee) and range of motion (ROM). Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.

Figure 3: Visualization of sprint kinematics of one trial during accelerating, top speed and decelerating phase. An asterix indicates when a step has been detected. (A) Left and right hip flexion and extension angles over time. (B) Left and right hip angular velocities over time. (C) Left and right knee angles over time. (D) Left and right knee angular velocities over time. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.
Figure 4: Polar plot in which hip joint angle (°) and angular velocities (flexion/extension) of one step are illustrated during acceleration, top speed and deceleration. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.

Figure 5: Polar plot in which knee joint angle (°) and angular velocities (flexion/extension) of one step are illustrated during acceleration, top speed and deceleration. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.

**Discussion**

Current methods to monitor athletes in team sports do not register lower extremity kinematics, which could be a useful measure for identifying injury-risk factors. The gold standard for analysing lower extremity kinematics during sprinting are optoelectronic measurement systems. Although optoelectronic measurement systems serve as a gold standard, these systems lack ecological validity due to their restricted measurement area. The sensor setup presented in this article overcomes the limitations of the current measurement systems, and is relatively cheap. The possibility to register lower extremity kinematics in the field, measured by the sensor setup, may improve athlete monitoring practice.

Previous studies that examined sprint kinematics reported hip angles ranging from 210° extension to 90° flexion. Furthermore, these studies reported knee angles ranging from 160° minimal flexion and 40° maximal flexion. The values observed in this study are within the range previously reported. One study reported hip angular velocities ranging from -590 °·s\(^{-1}\) to 700 °·s\(^{-1}\) and knee angular velocities ranging from -1,000 °·s\(^{-1}\) to 1,100 °·s\(^{-1}\). Although the values observed in this study were higher, they show a similar trend over time. The method has been validated and could be used for athlete monitoring in the field.

The current study has some limitations that need to be addressed. First, apart from the characteristics of the IMUs that have been used, users must be aware that the signals derived from the IMUs are affected by several sources of error which limit the possible range of applications. First, the oscillation of the soft tissues around the bones (i.e., soft tissue artefacts) can affect the registration of kinematics. For this reason, it is important to carefully attach the IMUs to the subject's body according to the steps described in the protocol. Although necessary steps were undertaken, it should be noted that the current study did not include extra elastic straps to prevent erroneous sensor movement. This could improve results and may be seen as a limitation of this study. Second, ferromagnetic disturbances from other devices (mainly inside buildings) change the magnitude or direction of the measured magnetic field vector of the IMU's magnetometer, thus causing errors in estimated orientation. Therefore, sources of ferromagnetic disturbance should be avoided as much as possible. Moreover, it must be noted that the sensor setup is not applicable to sliding tackles since the sensors will detach from the skin as a result of making contact to the ground surface. Thus, participants should be instructed not to perform sliding tackles during small sided games. A possible solution for this problem could be to integrate the sensor setup in smart garments (i.e., Smart Sensor Tights).

The kinematic variables obtained by the sensor setup could be used in a segmental model in order to monitor athletes in the field. Previous research found reduced maximum combined hip flexion and knee extension angle (i.e., theoretical hamstring length) after each half of a soccer match simulation. In the same study, an increase in shank angular velocity has been observed during the ends of each half. The lower hamstring length combined with an increased shank velocity may indicate an increased risk of excessive hamstring strain after fatigue. Such alterations in sprinting kinematics may be detected in a field setting using an inertial measurement unit (IMU) driven segmental model. Besides changes in joint kinematics, forces that act on the body as a whole can be estimated as well. Ground reaction forces (GRF) describe the biomechanical loading experienced by the total musculoskeletal system, and can be estimated using Newton's second law of motion (i.e., \( F = m \cdot a \)). Current research in running used GRF estimation to optimize sprint performance or assessing potential injury risk. These studies suggest that loading rates, vertical impact force peaks and horizontal breaking force are related to musculoskeletal overuse injuries.
Although it is a challenge to estimate GRF accurately during highly dynamic team-sport specific movements\cite{51,52}, the possibility to monitor these variables during measurements on the field could provide new information to optimize performance, or to prevent injuries.

The results presented in this paper are limited to monitoring lower extremity kinematics during a linear sprint, focussing on hamstring strain injury mechanism. However, it should be noted that hip and groin injuries also occur frequently in team sports\cite{14,17,53,54,55}. These injuries are probably caused by repetitive involvement of kicking and change of direction. Thus, future research should not only limit their focus on sprinting in relationship with the hamstring strain injury mechanism but also focus on expanding knowledge regarding change of direction tasks\cite{56,57,58} in relationship with hip and groin injuries.

To conclude, this sensor setup could be integrated in smart garments. Smart garments may enable to register lower extremity kinematics in the field during team sport specific tasks, which could improve monitoring athletes in the future. This may help professionals in a daily sports setting to evaluate their training programs and optimize them, aiming to reduce injury risk.
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